

8. Maxwell Field:

Motivation:

- All electrodynamical processes are described by Maxwell theory
- Apparent contradiction: Maxwell theory $\hat{=}$ first-quantized theory $\hat{=}$ no Planck constant appears
- Resolution: assume that quanta of Maxwell field, i. e. the photons, have a mass m
- $m \rightarrow 0$: to disappear
- $\lambda_c^{-1} = \frac{mc}{\hbar}$

Plan for this chapter:

- Relativistic covariant formulation of Maxwell theory
- Canonical field quantization
- Main obstacle: vanishing of rest mass implies local gauge symmetry \leftarrow Problem sheet \rightarrow
- \rightarrow difficult to handle in second quantization
- Result: properties of a photon (its energy, momentum and spin)
- Photon propagator: important building block in Feynman diagrams of QED

8.1 Maxwell Equations:

- Forces upon electric charges which are at rest or move: electric field \vec{E} , magnetic induction \vec{B}
- Physically: generated by charge density ρ or current density \vec{j}
- Mathematically: described by partial differential equations set up by James Clerk Maxwell
- General structure based on Helmholtz vector decomposition theorem: any vector field is determined from the knowledge of its divergence, its rotation and its boundary conditions

| | electric field | magnetic field |
|---------------------------------|--|---|
| homogeneous Maxwell equations | $\text{rot } \vec{E} = -\frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t} \quad (1)$ | $\text{div } \vec{B} = 0 \quad (3)$ |
| inhomogeneous Maxwell equations | $\text{div } \vec{E} = \frac{1}{\epsilon_0} \rho \quad (2)$ | $\text{rot } \vec{B} = \mu_0 \vec{j} + \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial \vec{E}}{\partial t} \quad (4)$ |
| constants: | vacuum dielectric constant ϵ_0 | vacuum permeability μ_0 |
| | | vacuum light velocity $c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon_0 \mu_0}} \quad (5)$ |

- ρ and \vec{j} are not independent from each other: continuity equation $\hat{=}$ charge conservation
- International System of Unit SI (= Systeme international d'unités): used here, quite cumbersome, but results directly used for experiments
- QFT often relies on Lorentz-Laviside system: $\epsilon_0 = \mu_0 = c = 1$

8.2 Local gauge symmetry:

- consequences of inhomogeneous Maxwell equations:

$$\text{div } \vec{B} \stackrel{(3)}{=} 0 \Rightarrow \vec{B} = \text{rot } \vec{A} \quad \text{vector potential} \quad (6)$$

$$(6) \text{ in } (1): \text{rot} \left(\vec{E} + \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t} \right) = \vec{0} \Rightarrow \vec{E} = -\text{grad } \varphi - \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t} \quad \text{scalar potential} \quad (7)$$

- consequences of inhomogeneous Maxwell equations:

$$\frac{1}{\epsilon_0} \rho \stackrel{(2)}{=} \text{div } \vec{E} \stackrel{(7)}{=} -\text{div grad } \varphi - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \text{div } \vec{A} \quad (8)$$

$$\mu_0 \vec{j} \stackrel{(4)}{=} \text{rot } \vec{B} - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial \vec{E}}{\partial t} \stackrel{(6),(7)}{=} \text{rot rot } \vec{A} - \frac{1}{c^2} \left\{ -\text{grad} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial^2 \vec{A}}{\partial t^2} \right\}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \vec{A}}{\partial t^2} - \Delta \vec{A} + \text{grad} \left(\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} + \text{div } \vec{A} \right) = \mu_0 \vec{j} \quad (9)$$

- coupled partial differential equation for φ, \vec{A}

- Local gauge symmetry: $\varphi' = \varphi + \frac{\partial \Lambda}{\partial t} \quad (10)$

$$\vec{A}' = \vec{A} - \text{grad } \Lambda \quad (11)$$

- change of description of electromagnetic field but the physics is not changed

$$\vec{B}' \stackrel{(6)}{=} \text{rot } \vec{A}' \stackrel{(11)}{=} \text{rot } \vec{A} - \text{rot grad } \Lambda = \text{rot } \vec{A} \stackrel{(6)}{=} \vec{B}$$

$$\vec{E}' \stackrel{(7)}{=} -\text{grad } \varphi' - \frac{\partial \vec{A}'}{\partial t} \stackrel{(10),(11)}{=} -\text{grad } \varphi - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \text{grad } \Lambda + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \text{grad } \Lambda - \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t} \stackrel{(7)}{=} \vec{E}$$

Similarly: (8) + (9) also invariant with respect to (10), (11)
 - choice of a particular gauge allows to decouple equations of motion (8), (9)
 → discuss two prominent examples

Coulomb Gauge:

- Longitudinal part of vector potential vanishes: $\text{div } \vec{A} = 0$ (12)
- (12) in (8): $\Delta \varphi = -\frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0}$ (13)
- (12) in (9): $\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \vec{A}}{\partial t^2} - \Delta \vec{A} = \mu_0 \vec{j} - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \text{grad } \varphi$ (14)
- solution of Poisson Eq. (13): $\varphi(\vec{x}, t) = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int d^3x' \frac{\rho(\vec{x}', t')}{|\vec{x} - \vec{x}'|}$ (15)
- ⇒ $\varphi(\vec{x}, t)$ is no longer a dynamical degree of freedom
- due to (12) and (15) from the 4 degrees of freedom φ, \vec{A} only two are relevant
 ⇒ later on: quantization yields two types of photons
- Advantage of Coulomb gauge: remaining 2 dynamical degrees of freedom can be physically identified with 2 transverse degrees of freedom of \vec{A}
 → used in quantum optics **and in this lecture**
- Disadvantage of Coulomb gauge: not manifestly Lorentz invariant, valid only in a particular inertial system

Lorentz Gauge:

- definition: $\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} + \text{div } \vec{A} = 0$ (16)

- (16) in (8), (9): $\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial t^2} - \Delta \varphi = \frac{1}{\epsilon_0} \rho$ (17)

$\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \vec{A}}{\partial t^2} - \Delta \vec{A} = \mu_0 \vec{j}$ (18)

- Advantage: (16) - (18) are Lorentz invariant
- Disadvantage:
 - Quantization worked out by Levay Gupta and Howard Bleuler
 - Apart from two physical transverse degrees of freedom of \vec{A} , also an unphysical longitudinal degree of freedom of \vec{A} appears, which to be eliminated afterwards

8.3 Field Strength Tensors:

- Electric field \vec{E} and magnetic induction \vec{B} : consider the components as elements of an anti-symmetric 4×4 matrix F , which is called electromagnetic field strength tensor

- Contravariant components:

$$(F^{\mu\nu}) = (F^{\mu\nu}(\vec{E}, \vec{B})) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -E_x/c & -E_y/c & -E_z/c \\ E_x/c & 0 & -B_z & B_y \\ E_y/c & B_z & 0 & -B_x \\ E_z/c & -B_y & B_x & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Covariant components: $F_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\alpha} g_{\nu\beta} F^{\alpha\beta}$

$$(F_{\mu\nu}) = (F_{\mu\nu}(-\vec{E}, \vec{B})) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & E_x/c & E_y/c & E_z/c \\ -E_x/c & 0 & B_z & -B_y \\ -E_y/c & -B_z & 0 & B_x \\ -E_z/c & B_y & -B_x & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Dual electromagnetic field strength tensor: $*F^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\kappa} F_{\lambda\kappa}$

$$(*F^{\mu\nu}) = (F^{\mu\nu}(c\vec{B}, -\frac{\vec{E}}{c})) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B_x & B_y & B_z \\ B_x & 0 & -E_z/c & E_y/c \\ B_y & -E_z/c & 0 & -E_x/c \\ B_z & E_y/c & -E_x/c & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Maxwell equations formulated in terms of F and $*F$:

| | |
|--|---|
| homogeneous Maxwell equations: $\partial_\mu *F^{\mu\nu} = 0$ | inhomogeneous Maxwell equations: $\partial_\mu F^{\mu\nu} = \mu_0 \vec{j}^\nu$ |
|--|---|

contravariant current density $(j^\nu) = (\frac{c\rho}{\vec{j}})$

$$\partial_\mu *F^{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B_x & B_y & B_z \\ B_x & 0 & -E_z/c & E_y/c \\ B_y & -E_z/c & 0 & -E_x/c \\ B_z & E_y/c & -E_x/c & 0 \end{pmatrix} = (\text{div } \vec{B}, -\frac{1}{c} \text{rot } \vec{E} - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t}) = (0, \vec{j})$$

$$\partial_\mu F^{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & E_x/c & E_y/c & E_z/c \\ E_x/c & B_z & 0 & -B_x \\ E_y/c & -B_z & 0 & B_x \\ E_z/c & B_x & -B_y & 0 \end{pmatrix} = (\frac{1}{c} \text{div } \vec{E}, \text{rot } \vec{B} - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \vec{E}}{\partial t}) = \mu_0 \begin{pmatrix} c\rho \\ \vec{j} \end{pmatrix}$$

- Consistency relation: $\partial_\mu F^{\mu\nu} = \mu_0 \dot{j}^\nu$ $\left. \begin{array}{l} \partial_\nu \dot{j}^\nu = 0 \\ \text{Lorentz-invariant formulation of continuity eq.} \end{array} \right\} \cdot \partial_\nu$
 $\partial_\nu \partial_\mu F^{\mu\nu} = \mu_0 \partial_\nu \dot{j}^\nu = 0 \Rightarrow \partial_\nu \dot{j}^\nu = 0$
 symm. anti-symmetric in μ, ν
 imp. cov.

$$\left(\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \vec{\nabla} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \phi \\ \vec{A} \end{array} \right) = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial t} + \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{j} = 0$$

8.4 Four-Vector Potential:

- combine ϕ and \vec{A} to: $(A^\lambda) = \left(\begin{array}{c} \phi/c \\ \vec{A} \end{array} \right)$
 - write (6) and (7) via: $F^{\mu\nu} = \partial^\mu A^\nu - \partial^\nu A^\mu$ (*)
 with $(\partial^\mu) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\mu} \right) = \left(\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, -\vec{\nabla} \right)$

- Examples:
 $F_{01} = \partial^0 A^1 - \partial^1 A^0 = \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial A_x}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} = -\frac{1}{c} E_x$ ✓
 $F_{12} = \partial^1 A^2 - \partial^2 A^1 = \frac{\partial A_y}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial A_x}{\partial y} = -B_z$ ✓

- Homogeneous Maxwell equations:
 $\partial_\mu *F^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\kappa} \partial_\mu F_{\lambda\kappa} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\kappa} \partial_\lambda A_\kappa - \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\kappa} \partial_\kappa A_\lambda \right)$
 $= \partial_\lambda A_\kappa - \partial_\kappa A_\lambda$
 $= \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\kappa} (\partial_\mu \partial_\lambda - \partial_\lambda \partial_\mu) A_\kappa \stackrel{\uparrow}{=} 0$
 remaining $= \epsilon^{\lambda\nu\kappa\mu} \partial_\lambda \partial_\mu A_\kappa = -\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\kappa} = \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\kappa}$

→ homogeneous Maxwell equations already fulfilled ✓
 theorem of Schwarz

- Inhomogeneous Maxwell Equations:
 $\partial_\mu F^{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu (\partial^\mu A^\nu - \partial^\nu A^\mu) = \partial_\mu \partial^\mu A^\nu - \partial^\nu \partial_\mu A^\mu = \mu_0 \dot{j}^\nu \stackrel{a}{=} (8), (9)$

- Local gauge transformation: $A'^\mu = A^\mu + \partial^\mu \Lambda \stackrel{(**)}{=} (10), (11)$ (*)
 - Invariance:
 $F'^{\mu\nu} \stackrel{(**)}{=} \partial^\mu A'^\nu - \partial^\nu A'^\mu \stackrel{(***)}{=} \partial^\mu A^\nu - \partial^\nu A^\mu + (\partial^\mu \partial^\nu - \partial^\nu \partial^\mu) \Lambda \stackrel{(***)}{=} F^{\mu\nu}$
 $\Rightarrow *F'^{\mu\nu} = *F^{\mu\nu}$
 \Rightarrow Physics invariant

8.5 Euler-Lagrange Equations: (Problem sheet 4)

$$A[A_\nu(\cdot)] = \frac{1}{c} \int_{ct} d^4x \mathcal{L}, \quad \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(A_\nu(x^\lambda); \partial_\mu A_\nu(x^\lambda))$$

$= c dt \cdot d^3x$

Hamilton principle: Euler-Lagrange equations

$$\frac{\delta A}{\delta A_\nu(x^\lambda)} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial A_\nu(x^\lambda)} - \partial_\mu \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \partial_\mu A_\nu(x^\lambda)} = 0$$

Ansatz: $\mathcal{L} = \alpha F^{\lambda\kappa} F_{\lambda\kappa} + \beta \dot{j}^\lambda A_\lambda$ (α, β : constants) → $\partial_\mu F^{\mu\nu} = \frac{\beta}{4\alpha} \dot{j}^\nu = \mu_0 \dot{j}^\nu$
 $\Rightarrow \beta = 4\alpha \mu_0$

↑
 Lorentz-invariant Lagrangian density (scalar)
 $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial A_\nu} = \beta \dot{j}^\nu, \quad \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \partial_\mu A_\nu} = \dots = 4\alpha F^{\mu\nu}$
 see exercises

Result: $\mathcal{L} = \alpha F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} + 4\alpha \mu_0 \dot{j}^\mu A_\mu$ (α : not yet determined)

- Way to determine α : Noether theorem, Problem sheet 4/5 ⇒ energy-momentum tensor ⇒ energy + momentum conservation ⇒ Appendix of later manuscript
 - Way to determine α : down-to-earth procedure, Legendre transformation
- Aim for tomorrow: provide all ingredients necessary to solve Problem sheet 7, which is supposed to be submitted until 31.12.2020