

The moduli space of irreducible plane curve singularities with the semi-group $\langle a, b \rangle$ and minimal Tjurina-number is a quasi-smooth algebraic variety. Its dimension is the modality of the corresponding monomial curve with respect to the μ -constant stratum under the action of the contact group.

1. Introduction

The aim of this paper is to describe the moduli space of irreducible plane curve singularities with the semi-group $\langle a, b \rangle$. There was already an approach by Washburn (cf. [9]) but it turned out to be wrong in general.

We use the following approach: Consider the germ $(X_0, 0)$ in $(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ defined by $0 = x^a y^b$, a and b being relatively prime, and let $\tilde{X} \dashrightarrow H$ be a good representative of the versal μ -constant deformation, μ the Milnor-number of the singularity $(X_0, 0)$. Because of the \mathbb{C}^* -action H can be chosen as \mathbb{C}^n for a suitable n and \tilde{X} as a hypersurface in $H \times \mathbb{C}^2$. H already "contains" all singularities we are hunting for. Along the integral-manifolds of the Lie-algebra V , the kernel of the Kodaira-Spencer map, the family $\tilde{X} \dashrightarrow H$ is analytically trivial. To obtain a moduli space we have to look for the quotient of H by the group $G = \exp V$. Obviously a good quotient can only exist on the strata \tilde{S} of fixed orbit-dimension. $\{\tilde{S}_t\}$ turns out to be the stratification defined by fixing the Tjurina-number τ , i.e. the dimension of the base of the versal deformation of the singularity in the corresponding fibre of the family.

Notice that the τ -constant stratum in the whole versal de-

formation of $x^a y^b$ is in general not contained in the μ -constant stratum H .

The quotient \tilde{S}/G always exists in the analytic category (cf. [4]) and it is the moduli space of all plane curve singularities with semi-group $\langle a, b \rangle$ and Tjurina-number τ .

We prove that on the open stratum \tilde{S}_{\min} the quotient \tilde{S}_{\min}/G in the sense of Mumford (cf. [5]) does exist and is a quasi-smooth algebraic variety, i.e. locally an open subset of a weighted projective space. The dimension of this variety has already been computed by Delorme [2].

It turns out that $\tau > \tau'$ implies $\dim \tilde{S}_{\tau}/G \leq \dim \tilde{S}_{\tau'}/G$, i.e. especially $\dim \tilde{S}_{\min}/G = m + \tau_{\min}$ is the modality of $x^a y^b$ with respect to the μ -constant stratum, under the action of the contact group (m the modality with respect to right equivalence, cf. [1]). We give an example that this is not true for surface singularities in \mathbb{C}^3 . In the example \tilde{S}_{τ} is empty for some τ , $\tau_{\min} \leq \tau < \tau'$. This is not possible for curves.

2. The Kodaira-Spencer map of the versal μ -constant family

In this chapter we will study the Kodaira-Spencer map of the versal μ -constant family $\tilde{X} \dashrightarrow H$ of the germ of the singularity $(X_0, 0)$ defined by the polynomial $f = x^a y^b$, $(a, b) = 1$, in $(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$. f is quasihomogeneous with weights b, a and degree $d = ab$.

Let $B = \{m_1, \dots, m_k\}$ be a monomial base of $R_0 = \mathbb{C}[x, y]/\Delta f$,

$$\Delta f = (\partial f / \partial x, \partial f / \partial y) = (x^{a-1} y^b, x^a y^{b-1}),$$

ordered by degree:

$$\deg(x^i y^j) = ib + ja.$$

We may choose $m_1 = 1$ and the hessian $m = x^{a-2} y^{b-2}$.

If it does not lead to any confusion we will not distinguish between the monomials and their exponents.

Denote $(,)$ the bilinear form defined by the coefficient of the hessian m_{ij} of the product in R_0 :

Let $f, g \in R_0$ and $fg = a_1 m_1 + \dots + a_r m_r$, then $(f, g) := \sum a_i m_i$.

Denote by $B = \{n_1, \dots, n_r\}$ the dual basis with respect to this bilinear form, i.e. $n_i = m_i / m_i$.

Denote $B_0 = \{n_1, \dots, n_r, \text{deg}(n_i) > d\}$

(notice that $r = (a-3)(b-3)/2 + b/a - 1$)

$$B_1 = \{m_1, \dots, m_r\} = B_0^0$$

$$F = f + \sum_{i=1}^r t_i n_i$$

$$H = \mathbb{C}[t_1, \dots, t_r]$$

$$\underline{H} = \text{Spec } H \quad \text{and} \quad \underline{X} = \text{Spec } H[x, y] / F.$$

Because of the \mathbb{C}^* -action $\underline{X} \rightarrow \underline{H}$ is a good representa-

tive of the versal μ -constant deformation of (X_0, \mathcal{O}) .

Obviously there is a \mathbb{C}^* -action on \underline{H} and the Lie-algebra

of derivations $\text{Der}(H)$ defined by $\text{deg}(t_i) = d - \text{deg}(m_i)$ and

$$\text{deg}(\partial/\partial t_i) = -\text{deg}(t_i).$$

The Kodaira-Spencer map is given by the map

$$g: \text{Der}(H) \rightarrow H[x, y] / (F, \Delta F),$$

$$\Delta F = (\partial F / \partial x, \partial F / \partial y), \quad g(d) = c_1 s(dF), \quad (\text{cf. [4]}).$$

We will study the kernel $V = \text{Ker}(g)$ of the Kodaira-Spencer map.

It is not difficult to see that V is a graded Lie-algebra. De-

note by V_+ the Lie-algebra of all vectorfields of V of de-

gree > 0 , by V_0 the Lie-algebra generated (as vector space) by

V_+ and the Euler vectorfield of $\text{Der } H$.

Proposition 2.1.: V_0 is of finite dimension and

V_0 generates V as an H -module. V_+ is nilpotent and V_0 is solvable.

Proof: $H[x, y] / F =: R$ is a free H -module generated by the elements of B . The multiplication by F is an H -linear map. De-

note by $K = (k_{ij})$ the matrix of this map with respect to the bases B and B_0 . The image of F is contained in the submodule generated by the elements of B_0 . Denote by d_i the

$$\text{vectorfield } \sum_{j=1}^r k_{ji} \partial/\partial t_j. \text{ By definition of } V \text{ the vector-}$$

fields $d_i, i=1, \dots, r$ generate V , notice that $d_i = 0$ if

$i > r$. By definition d_i is homogeneous of degree $\text{deg}(m_i)$,

i.e. an element of V_0 .

For homogeneous vectorfields d and d' we have

$$\text{deg}(d \cdot d') = \text{deg}(d) + \text{deg}(d'), \text{ but the degree of a vec-}$$

torfield of V_0 is bounded by $\text{deg}(m_r)$, notice that the ele-

ments of H have negative degree, i.e. V_+ is nilpotent. Final-

ly $V_+ = [V_0, d_1]$, $d_1 d_1$ is the Euler vectorfield on H

$$\text{and } [d_i, d_j] = (\text{deg}(d_j) - \text{deg}(d_i)) d_k + d,$$

Remark 2.2: It is not difficult to prove that

$$[d_i, d_j] = (\text{deg}(d_j) - \text{deg}(d_i)) d_k + d,$$

if $m_i m_j = m_k$, d a homogeneous vectorfield of the submodule generated by d_{k+1}, \dots, d_r .

The way to study the kernel of the Kodaira-Spencer map and the

action on H is to study the Lie-algebra V_0 and the matrix

corresponding to its generators d_i . Because of $m_i F = 0$ in

R if $i > r$ and the image of the multiplication by F being con-

tained in the submodule generated by n_1, \dots, n_r we may con-

sider F to be a map on these submodules.

Denote by M_1 the submodule of R generated by B_1 and by M_u

the submodule of R generated by B_u . For technical reasons we

will consider the map $E: M_1 \rightarrow M_u$ corresponding to the

multiplication by $-dF$.

Let us denote by $C(\underline{t})$ the matrix corresponding to E with re-

spect to the bases B_1 and B_u and by $CL(\underline{t})$ the matrix

of the linear terms with respect to \underline{t} of $C(\underline{t})$.
 The following lemma will give some simple properties of these matrices:

Lemma 2.3.: (1) $CL(\underline{t})$ is symmetric;

(2) $C_{ij}(\underline{t}) = 0$ iff $CL_{ij}(\underline{t}) = 0$;

(3) let $j_i = \max\{j, C_{ji} < 0\}$, then $j_i = j_i + 1$;

(4) for $j < j_i$ there are integers $k(i, j)$ with the following properties: $k(i, j) < k(i, j+1)$, $k(i, j) < k(i+1, j)$;

(5) if $j < j_i$, then $CL_{ij} = (\deg(n_k(i, j)) - d) t_k(i, j)$.

Proof: (1) is clear because of the choice of the bases.

Now $x^a y^b \in B_1$ iff $eb + e'a < ab - 2b - 2a$, i.e.

$m_i m_j \in B_1$ implies $m_i m_k \in B_1$ for $k \leq j$. Let j_i be

maximal such that $m_i m_j \in B_1$. For $j < j_i$ define $k(i, j)$

by $m_i m_j = m_k(i, j)$. Then we have also $m_i m_k(i, j) = m_j$.

By definition of E

$$Em_i = \sum_{j=1}^r (\deg(n_j) - d) t_j m_j, \text{ i.e.}$$

$$Em_i = \sum_{j=1}^r (\deg(n_j) - d) t_j m_j$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^r C_{ji} m_j$$

If $j < j_i$ then $n_j = m_i m_k(i, j)$, i.e.

$$CL_{ji} = (\deg(n_k(i, j)) - d) t_k(i, j) - \text{Suppose now } C_{ji} < 0 \text{ for}$$

$j > j_i$ and choose j maximal with this property, then

$$0 = Em_j = C_{ji} m_i \text{ which is a contradiction.}$$

Remark: In the higher dimensional case B_1 cannot be characterized simply by degree. This is the reason why (2) of the lemma fails.

Proposition 2.4. (T. Yano): There is a basis B'_j of M_1 with the following properties:

(1) $m_i = m_i + h_i$, h_i homogeneous of degree m_i in the submodule generated by h_{i+1}, \dots, h_r

(2) the matrix of E with respect to B'_j is symmetric with linear part $CL(\underline{t})$.

Proof: Consider, on $M_1 \times M_U$, the pairing $(,)$ defined by the coefficient of the hessian of the product of two elements of M_1 resp. M_U . Let $h, g \in R$ and $hg = c_1 h_1 + \dots + c_r h_r$, then $(h, g) = c_1 \cdot (,)$ has the following properties:

(i) $(m_i, n_j) = 1$,

(ii) $(m_i, n_j) = 0$ if $i > j$,

(iii) if $(m_i, n_j) < 0$, then it is homogeneous of degree $\deg(m_i) + \deg(n_j) - \deg(n_1)$.

Denote by K the matrix of this pairing with respect to the bases B_1 and B_U . Obviously the map E induces a symmetric bilinear form on M_1 defined by $(, E)$ with a symmetric matrix G with respect to the basis B_1 .

Notice that $(m_i, Em_j) = 0$ if $m_i m_j \in B_1$. Now

(iv) $G = K * C(\underline{t})$.

This implies that $C(\underline{t}) * K^{-1}$ is symmetric. The basis change induced by K^{-1} on M_1 has the required properties (because of (i) to (iv)).

Remark: A basis change in M_1 corresponds to a choice of other generators of the kernel of the Kodaira-Spencer map.

Corollary 2.5.: There is a basis B'_U of M_U and an automorphism w of H with the following properties:

(1) w is homogeneous,

(2) $n'_i = n_i + h_i$, h_i homogeneous of degree n_i in the submodule generated by n_1, \dots, n_{i-1} ,

(3) the matrix of E with respect to B_1 and B'_U is $CL(w(\underline{t}))$.

Proof: With the notations of the proposition the basis change on M_U induced by K has the required property (2) and the

Proof: We have to prove (2). Analysing the proof of 2.7. it is enough to prove the following lemma for any linear matrix similar to $DL(\underline{t})$:

Lemma 2.11.: For $i, j = 1, \dots, m$ let $a(i, j) \in \{0, \dots, m\}$ satisfying the property: $a(m-i+1, i) < 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$ and $a(i, j) < 0$ implies $0 < a(i-1, j) < a(i, j)$ and $0 < a(i, j-1) < a(i, j)$. Let $d_a(i, j) \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $d_0 = 0$ and $d_a(i, j) < 0$ if $a(i, j) < 0$. Let I_a be the ideal generated by the $(p+1)$ -minors of the matrix $M(\underline{t}) := (d_a(i, j) t_a(i, j))$. Then

$$\dim \mathbb{C} [t_1, \dots, t_p] / I_a \leq r - m + p.$$

Proof: Use induction on m and on the number of different

$t_a(i, j)$'s contained in the matrix. If $p+1=m$, the assumption is true because $\det(M(\underline{t})) < 0$ (lemma 2.8.).

Let $s = \max \{a(i, j) \mid a(i, j) < 0\}$ and let U be a component of the zero set of I_a .

Case 1: U is contained in the hypersurface defined by $t_s = 0$.

Consider the matrix $M'(\underline{t})$ obtained from $M(\underline{t})$ by deleting the last row and the last column. By definition of s and the properties of the $a(i, j)$ the matrix $M'(\underline{t})$ ($t_s = 0$) satisfies the properties required in the lemma and we may apply the induction hypothesis.

Case 2: U is not contained in the hypersurface defined by t_s .

Suppose t_s occurs l times in the matrix $M(\underline{t})$. Then, on the open set defined by $t_s < 0$ the rank of $M(\underline{t})$ is at least l . In particular this implies $l < p$. Consider the matrix $M'(\underline{t})$ obtained by deleting the rows and columns in which t_s occurs.

For $t_s < 0$ it is easy to see that $\text{rk}(M(\underline{t})) = \text{rk}(M'(\underline{t})) + l$, therefore $\{ \underline{t}, \text{rk}(M(\underline{t})) < p \} = \{ \underline{t}, \text{rk}(M'(\underline{t})) < p - l \}$. Now

$M'(\underline{t})$ satisfies the properties required in the lemma and does not contain t_s . We can apply the induction hypotheses, and the lemma is proved.

Remark: Theorem 2.10. fails also in the case of surface singularities. Consider the same example as before:

$$f = x^3 y^{10} + z^{19} \quad m(f, 246) = 88 \quad \text{and} \quad m(f, 248) = 89.$$

We will describe the open set S_{\min} more precisely in terms of the matrix C . A decreasing filtration $F^* = F^p M_U$ on M_U is introduced, induced by a filtration of the monomial basis B compatible with the dual filtration on M_U .

(The dual filtration F^* on M_U is defined by:

$$m_i \in F^p M_U \text{ iff } n_i \in F^{p-m_i} M_U)$$

Let us denote the matrix corresponding to

$\text{gr}_p M_U : \text{gr}_p M_U \rightarrow \text{gr}_p M_U$ by C^p and the radical of the ideal generated by the maximal minors of C^p by I_p .

Lemma 2.12.: There is a filtration F^* of M_U satisfying the following properties:

- (1) $F^p M_U = M_U \supseteq F^{p+1} M_U \supseteq F^{p+2} M_U \supseteq \dots \supseteq F^0 M_U = 0$
- (2) $n_i \in F^p M_U$ implies $n_j \in F^p M_U$ if $j < i$
- (3) $\text{rk}(\text{gr}_p M_U) \leq r_g(\text{gr}_p M_U)$ if $2p \leq l+1$ (r_k as H-modul)
- (4) The elements of C^p are invariant with respect to the action of V_+
- (5) $I_p = I^{l-p}$ for all p
- (6) $I_p \subseteq I^{p-1}$ or $I_p \subseteq I^{p-2}$ if $2p \leq l+1$
- (7) $m_i \in F^p$ implies $m_j \in F^{p+1}$ if $m_i m_j \in B_1$
- (8) $m_i \in F^p$ implies $m_j \in F^p$
- (9) S_{\min} is the open set defined by I_1 or $I_1 \cap I_1^{l-1}$.

$$l' := \lfloor (l+1)/2 \rfloor$$

Consider the example $x^3 y^{11}$. In this case F^* is the

(x, y) -adic filtration:

$\text{gr}_0 M_U$ is generated by $x^9, x^2 y^7, x^3 y^5$

$\text{gr}_1 M_U$ is generated by $x^2 y^8, x^3 y^6$

$\text{gr}_2 M_U$ is generated by $x^2 y^9, x^3 y^7$

$\alpha_3 M_4$ is generated by $x^3 y^8$
 $\alpha_4 M_4$ is generated by $x^3 y^9$

For the graded pieces CP of the matrix we get:

$$C^0 = (t_9, 2t_8, 3t_7)$$

$$C^1 = (A', B'), A' = 2t_8 t_9 A, B' = 3t_7 t_8 B$$

$$C^2 = \begin{pmatrix} A' & B' \\ t_9 & 2t_8 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$C^3 = \begin{pmatrix} B' - 9/11 t_9 A' \\ A' \end{pmatrix}$$

$$C^4 = \begin{pmatrix} B' - 9/11 t_9 A' \\ A' \\ t_9 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$I_0 = I_4 = (t_7, t_8, t_9)$$

$$I_1 = I_3 = (A', B') \quad I_2 = (t_8 A' - t_9 B')$$

$I_{\min} = I_3$ and S_3 defined by $t_8 A' - t_9 B' \neq 0$

Construction of a suitable filtration of the monomial basis:

Lemma 2.13.: There is a map $dh: B \rightarrow N$ having the following properties:

- (1) dh is injective
- (2) If $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in M_1$ (resp. M_4) and $\deg(\xi_1) < \deg(\xi_2)$, then $dh(\xi_1) < dh(\xi_2)$
- (3) For $\xi_1 \in B_j$ and $\xi_2 \in B_u$ we have:
 if for a suitable $\xi_3 \in B_u$ with $dh(\xi_3) = dh(\xi_1) + dh(\xi_2)$, then we have $\xi_3 = \xi_1 + \xi_2$.
- (4) $dh(\xi) + dh(\xi^0) = dh(\eta_1) =: d_*$
- (5) Let $k_* := dh((0, 1))$, then $0 < \#B_j \setminus dh^{-1}(\{k+1, \dots, k+k_*\}) < a-1$ if $k+k_* \leq d_*$

(6) (5) also holds for B_u .

Proof: Define $dh(\xi) := \begin{cases} \deg(\xi) & \text{if } \deg(\xi) < ab \\ \deg(\xi) - ab & \text{if } \deg(\xi) \geq ab \end{cases}$

(1) and (2) are clear by definition.

(3) Suppose $\xi_1 \in B_1, \xi_2, \xi_3 \in B_u$ and $dh(\xi_3) = dh(\xi_1) + dh(\xi_2)$, then we have $dh(\xi_3) =$

$dh(\xi_1 + \xi_2)$ and $\deg \xi_3 = \deg(\xi_1 + \xi_2)$ and this

implies $\xi_3 = \xi_1 + \xi_2$. (4) is a consequence of (3).

(5) For a fixed number l we regard the following sequence of monomials $(1, 0), (1, 1), \dots, (1, t)$ from B , then the values of dh

on the sequence increase by k_* = $dh(0, 1) = a$ as long as

$\deg(1, t) < d$. Suppose $\deg((1, t+1)) > d$, then $dh(1, t+1)$ is smaller

than k_* . Therefore exactly one monomial $(0, t_0) \in B_1$,

exactly one monomial $(a-2, t_{a-2}) \in B_u$ and at most one monomial $(1, t_1), 0 < t_1 < a-2$, belong to I_k .

$$I_k := dh^{-1}(\{k+1, \dots, k+k_*\}).$$

Lemma 2.14.: If $k < (d_* - k_*)/2$, then

$$\#B_1 \cap I_k \leq \#B_u \cap I_k$$

Proof: Suppose $B_1 \cap I_k$ contains l monomials, which neces-

sarily are of the form $(0, t_0), (1, t_1), \dots, (l-1, t_{l-1})$.

Then $B_u \cap I_k$ contains either $a-l-1$ monomials $(1, t_1), \dots,$

$(a-2, t_{a-2})$ or $a-l-2$ monomials $(1+1, t_{1+1}), \dots, (a-2, t_{a-2})$.

The second case occurs iff $k < dh(1, b-1) \leq k+k_*$. Hence the statement of the lemma is equivalent to the inequality:

$$dh([a/2], b-1) > (d_* + k_*)/2$$

which is easy to check.

Let $c(\xi) := \#\{h \in B_u, dh(h) \leq dh(\xi)\}$
 $- \#\{h \in B_1, dh(h) \leq dh(\xi)\}$,

then $c(\xi) = c(\xi^0) + 1$ if $\xi \in B_u$. Let $c = \max c(\xi)$.

then a maximal anti-diagonal of $C(\underline{t})$ containing no zeros has length $r-c$, hence rank $C(\underline{t}) = r - c$.

Choose $\underline{e}_* \in B_U$ with $dh(\underline{e}_*) < d_*/2$, such that $c = c(\underline{e}_*)$ and $dh(\underline{e}_*)$ maximal. Let $\underline{e}_0 \in B_1$, such that $dh(\underline{e}_0) > dh(\underline{e}_*)$ and $dh(\underline{e}_0)$ minimal.

Lemma 2.15.: $dh(\underline{e}_0) - dh(\underline{e}_*) < k_*$

Proof: Let $i := dh^{-1}(\{dh(\underline{e}_0), \dots, dh(\underline{e}_0) + r_* - 1\})$ and

suppose $dh(\underline{e}_0) < (d_* - k_*)/2$, then, by 2.14, we have

$$\# B_1 \cap I < \# B_U \cap I$$

Let $\underline{h} \in B_U \cap I$ maximal, then $c(\underline{h}) = c$, hence

$$dh(\underline{h}) > d_*/2, \text{ i.e. } dh(\underline{h}) < d_*/2 \text{ and}$$

$c(\underline{h}) = c-1$ for the dual \underline{h}^0 .

Let $\underline{h}_0 \in B_1$ maximal with $dh(\underline{h}_0) < dh(\underline{h})$ then

$$c(\underline{h}_0) = c, \text{ hence } dh(\underline{h}_0) < dh(\underline{e}_*) \text{ and}$$

$dh(\underline{h}_0) < dh(\underline{e}_0)$. We get

$$dh(\underline{e}_0) + k_* > dh(\underline{h}) > dh(\underline{e}_0) \text{ and}$$

$$dh(\underline{e}_0) - dh(\underline{e}_*) < k_*, \text{ q.e.d.}$$

We are now ready to construct the filtration. We start with a filtration on B . Let

$$S^i := dh^{-1}(\{dh(\underline{e}_0) - ik_*, \dots, dh(\underline{e}_0) - ik_*\}) \text{ and}$$

$$R^i = dh^{-1}(\{dh(\underline{e}_0) - (i+1)k_*, \dots,$$

$$\dots, dh(\underline{e}_0) - ik_* - 1\}).$$

Because of $(S^0)^0 = S^0$ and $(R^0)^0 = R^1$ we get

$$(S^i)^0 = S^{-i} \text{ and } (R^i)^0 = R^{1-i}$$

Notice that it is possible that

$$\underline{e}_*^0 = \underline{e}_0, \text{ i.e. } S^i = \emptyset \text{ for all } i,$$

$$\text{or } \underline{e}_*^0 = \underline{e}_0 + (0,1), \text{ i.e. } R^i = \emptyset \text{ for all } i.$$

In these cases we will get the (x,y) -adic filtration on M_U . Denote by F^*B the filtration defined by S^i and R^i as follows: $F^0B = B$, suppose F^iB is defined and the minimal element $\underline{h} \in F^iB$ belongs to S^j (resp. to R^j), $j < 0$, then

$$F^{i+1} := \begin{cases} F^i - S^j, & \text{if } R^{j+1} \cap B_U \neq \emptyset \text{ and } R^{j+1} \cap B_1 \neq \emptyset \\ F^i - S^j - R^{j+1}, & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

resp.

$$F^{i+1} := \begin{cases} F^i - R^j, & \text{if } S^j \cap B_U \neq \emptyset \text{ and } S^j \cap B_1 \neq \emptyset \\ F^i - R^j - S^j, & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

To obtain symmetry we define for $j = 0$

$$F^{i+1} := F^i - S^0 \quad (\text{resp. } F^i - R^0)$$

and if $j > 0$

$$F^{i+1} := \begin{cases} F^i - S^j, & \text{if } S^j \cap B_U \neq \emptyset \text{ and } S^j \cap B_1 = \emptyset \\ F^i - S^j - R^{j+1}, & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

for $\underline{h} \in R^j$ it is defined in a similar way.

Let l be minimal such that $F^l = \emptyset$, then, because of the

$$\text{duality } B_U^0 = B_1, \text{ we have } (F^iB)^0 = B - F^{1-i}.$$

F^* induces filtrations on B_U and B_1 and by duality we

$$\text{have } (F^iB_U)^0 = B_1 - F^{1-i}B_1.$$

Let us denote the induced filtration on M_1 and M_U also by

F^* . This filtration has the properties required in 2.12.

Proof of Lemma 2.12.:

(1) and (2) are obvious.

(3) $\text{grp } M_1$ is generated by

$$\{x, y, e^i, dh(e, e^i) \in \text{grp } B_1\} \text{ (similarly for } \text{grp } M_U)$$

But $\text{grp } B_U$ is $S^j \cap B_U$ or $R^j \cap B_U$ or $(S^j \cap B_U) \cap B_1$

or $(S^j \cap B_U) \cap B_1$ for some j , similarly $\text{grp } B_1$. Now, by

$$\text{definition } \#S^0B_U = \#S^0B_1 \text{ and}$$

$$\#R^0B_U > \#R^0B_1.$$

Using 2.13, we have $\#S^jB_U > \#S^jB_1$,

$$\#R^jB_U > \#R^jB_1 \text{ if } j < 0.$$

(4) holds because of the fact that

$$dh(\underline{e}_1) - dh(\underline{e}_2) < k_*$$

for any two elements s_1 and s_2 of $gr_p B$ and that t_q is invariant under the action of V_+ if $q > j_2$ (lemma 2.4): if an element of C^p depends on t_q with $dh(n_q) > k_*$ (we may assume the linear part to depend on t_q) and this element is in the i -th column and the j -th row of $C(\underline{t})$, then

$$m_j n_q = n_j \quad \text{and} \quad m_j, n_j \in gr_p B$$

but then $dh(n_q) = dh(n_j) - dh(m_j) < k_*$!

(5) is a consequence of the duality and the fact that the change of the matrix $C(\underline{t})$ to the symmetric matrix does not change I_{1-p} .

(6) is a consequence of 2.13.:

Suppose $gr_p B = S^j$, $j < 0$, and $gr_{p-2} B = S^{j-1}$, then

$$(i) \quad gr_{p-2} B_u = \{ \underline{s} - (0,1), \underline{s} \in gr_p B_u \} \cup L,$$

L is empty or contains just one element.

$$(ii) \quad gr_{p-2} B_1 = \{ \underline{s} - (0,1), \underline{s} \in gr_p B_1 \} \cup T,$$

T is empty or contains just one element.

Furthermore $\# gr_p B_u = \# gr_p B_1 = d_p$.

I_{p-2} is the radical of the ideal generated by the $d_{p-2} - m_i$ minors of the matrix C^{p-2} . Let $m_1(1), \dots, m_1(d-2)$ generate $gr_{p-2} M_1$. Suppose I_{p-2} vanishes at a point \underline{t} , then the leading forms of $m_1(1)E, \dots, m_1(d-2)E$ with respect to the graduation, i.e. in $gr_{p-2} M_u$, are dependent.

Now, because of (ii) the leading forms of

$$y m_1(1)E, \dots, y m_1(d-2)$$

define rows of the matrix C^p . By (i) they depend on \underline{t} , too. This implies that the corresponding d_{p-2} -minors of C^p vanish at \underline{t} . But $d_p = d_{p-2}$ implies that I_p vanishes at \underline{t} . All the other cases are similar.

(7) and (8) are obvious by definition of F^* .

(9) By the choice of \underline{s}_* , $c(\underline{s}_*) = c$, the matrix $C(\underline{t})$ has maximal rank $r-c$ at a general point. The rank decreases if the rank of a graded piece decreases. Because of (5) and (6),

S_{\min} is defined by $I_p \cap I_{p-1}$ if $S^0 \neq \emptyset$ and $R^0 \cap B_1 \neq \emptyset$ or by I_p if $S^0 = \emptyset$ or $R^0 \cap B_1 = \emptyset$ ($I^* := [(1+1)/2]$).

From the last results one can obtain formulas for the maximal rank of the matrix $C(\underline{t})$. We represent

$$b/a = \begin{bmatrix} r_1 & \dots & r_k \\ r_1 + 1 & & \\ & & r_2 + 1 \\ & & & \dots \end{bmatrix}$$

as a continued fraction then define l_i and t_i inductively:

$$l_k = 0, \quad t_k = 1$$

$$l_{i-1} = l_i + t_i r_i \quad \text{and} \quad t_{i-1} = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad t_i = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad l_i \text{ even,} \\ t_i = 1 \quad \text{else.}$$

Corollary: (cf. [2])

$$\text{rank } C(\underline{t}) = (a-2)(b-2)/4 - (l_0-2)/4 + t_1(r_1+t_2-2)/2$$

If for instance $b = r+1$, we get

$$\text{rank } C(\underline{t}) = \begin{cases} (a-2)(b-3)/4 & a \text{ even} \\ (a-1)(b-r-3)/4 & a \text{ odd} \end{cases}$$

3. Moduli of irreducible plane curve singularities with semi-group $\langle a, b \rangle$

We will construct the moduli space of irreducible plane curve singularities with the semi-group $\Gamma = \langle a, b \rangle$ and minimal Tjurina-number

There was already an approach by Washburn (cf. [9]) but his construction is wrong in general, also his dimension formula. He uses as filtration F^* the (x, y) -adic filtration on M_u and M_j . But the corresponding graduation was not compatible with the multiplication $E: M_j \rightarrow M_u$. This is true only in

very special cases (cf. 2.13.), not true for $a=5, b=12$.

Theorem 3.1.: Let $\Gamma = \langle a, b \rangle$, $(a, b) = 1$, be a semi-group. The fine moduli space $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{X}_{\Gamma}^{\text{min}} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_{\Gamma}^{\text{min}}$ of all plane curves singularities with the semi-group Γ and minimal Tjurina-number τ_{min} exists.

(1) $\mathbb{T}_{\Gamma}^{\text{min}}$ is a quasismooth scheme, i.e. locally an open subset in a weighted projective space of dimension

$$(a+b)(b-4)/4 + 1/4 + (2-t_1)(r_1-2)/2 - t_1 t_2 / 2$$

(2) $\mathbb{X}_{\Gamma}^{\text{min}}$ is an algebraic space and there is an affine covering $\{U_i\}$ of $\mathbb{T}_{\Gamma}^{\text{min}}$ such that $\mathbb{T}^{-1}(U_i)$ are affine schemes.

Proof: Suppose $a < b$. For short, let $\tau := \tau_{\text{min}}$.

Let $(x_0, 0)$ be a germ of a plane curve with singularity at o , having as semigroup. Consider $\mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ to be the versal μ -constant deformation of the singularity defined by $x^a + y^b$.

There is a $t \in \mathbb{H}$ such that $(x_t, 0) \cong (x_t, 0)$ (cf. [1]).

Lemma 3.2.:

If for $t^1, t^2 \in \mathbb{H}$ $(x_{t^1}, 0) \cong (x_{t^2}, 0)$, then t^1 and t^2 are in an analytically trivial subfamily of $\mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$.

Proof: The \mathbb{C}^* -action induces a canonical filtration on the automorphism group E of $\mathbb{C}[[x, y]]$:

$$E_1 = \{ \varphi \in E, \deg(\varphi(x)) - x \geq 1+a, \deg(\varphi(y)) - y \geq 1+b \}$$

$$\deg(\varphi) := 1 \text{ iff } \varphi \in E_1^{-1} E_{1+1}$$

$(x_{t^1}, 0) \cong (x_{t^2}, 0)$, i.e. there is an $\varphi \in E$ and a unit $u \in \mathbb{C}[[x, y]]$ such that

$$F(x, y, \underline{t}^1) = u(x, y) F(\varphi(x), \varphi(y), \underline{t}^2).$$

We will prove that $\deg \varphi > 0$.

If this is true $\underline{t}^1, \underline{t}^2$ are in an analytically trivial subfamily of $\mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ induced by the \mathbb{C}^* -action.

Consider the map induced by φ and the corresponding map $\bar{\varphi}$ of

the normalizations.

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : \mathbb{C}[[x, y]]/F(x, y, \underline{t}^1) &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C}[[x, y]]/F(x, y, \underline{t}^2) \\ \mathbb{C}[[t^a + \text{higher order}, t^b, \dots]] &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C}[[t^a + \dots, t^b, \dots]] \\ \bar{\varphi} : \mathbb{C}[[t]] &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C}[[t]] \end{aligned}$$

$\bar{\varphi}(t) = t^*h(t)$, $h(t)$ a unit in $\mathbb{C}[[t]]$.

Then it is clear that $\deg \varphi > 0$.

Using the lemma we get $\mathbb{T}_{\Gamma}^{\text{min}} = S_{\Gamma}/V$, S_{Γ} the open stratum in the flattening stratification of \mathbb{H} with respect to the kernel of the Kodaira-Spencer map V . By general results $\mathbb{X}_{\Gamma}^{\text{min}} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_{\Gamma}^{\text{min}} = S_{\Gamma}/V$ exists in the category of algebraic spaces (cf. [4], [5]).

We will prove that S_{Γ}/V is locally an open subset in a weighted projective space.

V is a graded Lie-algebra generated as an \mathbb{H} -module by the elements $d_i, \deg d_i = \deg m_i$ (cf. proposition 2.1.).

It is enough to study $S_{\Gamma}/V_0 = (S_{\Gamma}/V_+) / \mathbb{C}^*$

($\exp V_+$ is a normal subgroup in $\exp V_0$ and $\exp V_0 / \exp V_+ = \mathbb{C}^*$).

Lemma 3.3.: Let R be a commutative algebra over a field k .

$d_1, \dots, d_q \in \text{Der}_k(A)$ with the following properties:

- (i) $[d_i, d_j] = 0$ for all i, j
- (ii) d_i nilpotent, i.e. for all $a \in R$ there is an $n(a)$ such that $d_i^{n(a)}(a) = 0$
- (iii) There are $z_1, \dots, z_q \in R$ such that $-d_i z_j$ is invariant with respect to the action of the Lie-algebra $\sum d_{i,k} = L$

Then $R^{-1}[z_1, \dots, z_q] = R$.

The proof of this lemma is not difficult and we will omit it.

Now we study the action of V_+ on S_{Γ} .

Using (4), (6) and (9) of 2.12. we can cover S_{Γ} by invariant affine open sets defined by the product of suitable minors of \mathbb{C}^P , $P \leq 1' = [(1+1)/2]$.

Let $U = \text{Spec } C[t]_h$, $h = h_1 \dots h_l$, be one of these open sets, h_i minors of C^i .

Let $i = i_1 \dots i_t(1) \dots i_t(1) + 1 \dots i_t(2) \dots i_t(1)$ define the columns and $j_1 \dots j_s(1) \dots j_s(1)$ the rows of $C(t)$ corresponding to these minors, $t(1) = s(1) = \mu - r - 1 = \text{rk } C(t) - 1$. Because of 2.12. (7) and (3) and 2.2

$[d_j^s(1) | d]$ is in the $C[t]_h$ -module generated by

$d_j^s(1+1) \dots d_j^s(1)$. Starting with $d_j^s(1-1) + i_1 \dots i_s(1)$ we apply 3.3. 1 times and get

$$C[t]_h^V [t_1 \dots t_t(1)] = C[t]_h.$$

We may choose homogeneous invariant functions

$g_i^t(1) + 1 \dots g_i^t(q) \in C[t]_h^V$ generating $C[t]_h^V$ determined by $g_i^t/h = t_i^k \text{ mod } (t_1, \dots, t_t(1))$

$U/V_0 = \text{Spec } C[g_i^t(1) + 1 \dots g_i^t(q)]_h$, $x^a + y^b + \sum_{j>t(1)} g_j^t/h m_j$ is the corresponding family.

U/V_0 is the open set defined by h in the corresponding weighted projective space.

Now it is clear that the quotients of the invariant affine open sets covering $S_{\mathbb{Z}}$ by V_0 give to a quasismooth scheme $T_{\mathbb{Z}}^f$. The corresponding families glue in the étal topology.

Let us consider our example $x^5 + y^{11}$:

For shortness let $A' = 2t_8 + A$ and $B' = 3t_7 + B$.

$S_{\mathbb{Z}} = \text{Spec } C[t]_{t_9 A' - t_8 B'}$. Let us consider U defined by

$h := t_9 A' - t_8 B'$. Then $i_V^v, v=1, \dots, 4, i_5=6$.

$C[t]_h^V = C[t_9, t_8, t_7, A', t_5 - B', t_4]$ with the

corresponding family $x^5 + y^{11} +$

$$t_9 x y^9 + t_8 x^2 y^7 + t_7 x^3 y^5 + (t_5 - t_6 B' / A') x^3 y^6$$

Similarly we get the invariants on the other open sets, $S_{\mathbb{Z}}/V$

is the open set $D_+(t_9 A' - t_8 B')$ in $\mathbb{P}^3(1:2:3:10) =$

$\text{Proj } C[t_9, t_8, t_7, w]$. $T_{\mathbb{Z}}^f = S_{\mathbb{Z}}/V_0$ is covered by the

open sets $U_1 = D_+(A') \cap T_{\mathbb{Z}}^f$ and $U_2 = D_+(B') \cap T_{\mathbb{Z}}^f$. On

U_1 resp. U_2 we have the universal families

$$x^5 + y^{11} + t_9 x y^9 + t_8 x^2 y^7 + t_7 x^3 y^5 + w/A' x^3 y^6$$

resp.

$$x^5 + y^{11} + t_9 x y^9 + t_8 x^2 y^7 + t_7 x^3 y^5 + w/B' x^3 y^6$$

4. Moduli of reducible plane curve singularities of quasi-homogeneous type

In the case of reducible plane curves one gets similar results:

We can construct the moduli space of all plane curve singularities having the topological type of a quasi-homogeneous plane curve singularity, more precisely connected by a topological (trivial) family with a singularity defined by a non-degenerated quasi-homogeneous polynomial of degree d with respect to the weights w_1, w_2 . The following three cases will occur:

(i) k branches with semigroup $\Gamma = \langle a_0, b_0 \rangle$; $(a_0, b_0) = 1$

$$w_1 = d/ka_0, w_2 = d/kb_0; f = x^{a_0} y^{b_0}$$

(ii) k branches with semigroup Γ and one smooth branch:

$$w_1 = d/ka_0, w_2 = (d-w_1)/kb_0; f = x^{a_0} x y^b$$

(iii) k branches with semigroup Γ and two smooth branches:

$$w_1 = (d-w_2)/ka_0, w_2 = (d-w_1)/kb_0;$$

$$f = x^a y + x y^b$$

Theorem

Fix the quasi-homogeneous type $(w; d)$ and the Tjurina-number \mathcal{Z} ,

then a coarse moduli space $T_{\mathbb{Z}}^f(w; d)$ of all plane

curve singularities with that topological type and

Tjurina-number \mathcal{Z} exists in the category of algebraic spaces.

For $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z}_{\min}$ the moduli space $T_{\mathbb{Z}}^f$ is a scheme (except may

be in the homogeneous case, i.e. $w_1 = w_2 = d$, if d is even).

References

1. Arnold, V.I., Varchenko, A.N., Hussein-Zade, S.M., Singularities of differentiable maps I, II, Moscow 1982, 1984.
2. Delorme, C., Sur les modules des singularités des courbes planes, Bull. Soc. Math. France 106 (4), 1978, 417-446.
3. Laudal, O.A., Formal moduli of algebraic structures, Springer Lecture Notes 754 (1979).
4. Laudal, O.A., Pfister, G., The local moduli problem applications to the classification of isolated hypersurface singularities, Preprint 11 (1983) University of Oslo.
5. Mumford, D., Geometric invariant theory, Berlin 1965.
6. Popp, H., Moduli theory and classification theory of algebraic varieties, Springer Lecture Notes 620 (1977).
7. Saito, K., On the periods of primitive integrals I, RIMS preprint 412, Kyoto University 1982.
8. Teissier, B., Appendice au cours de O. Zariski (cf. 10.).
9. Washburn, S., On the moduli of plane curve singularities II, J. of Algebra 66 (2), 1980, 354-385.
10. Zariski, O., Le problème des modules pour les branches planaires, Pub. du Centre de Mathématiques de l'École Polytechnique 1976.

O.A. Laudal	B. Martin	G. Pfister
Matematisk institutt	Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin	
Universitetet i Oslo	Sektion Mathematik	
Blindern, Oslo 2	1086 Berlin, Unter den Linden 6	
Norway	DDR	

BUCHSBAUM CURVES IN \mathbb{P}^3

Juan Migliore
Madison, NJ 07940

This paper is a somewhat expanded version of a talk that the author gave at the International Conference "Algebraic Geometry" at Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin. The author is very grateful to Humboldt-Universität and in particular to Professors W. Kleinert, H. Kurke, G. Pfister and M. Roczen for their generous hospitality.

In the first section of this paper we begin by briefly reviewing the basic results of liaison of curves in \mathbb{P}^3 . We then give a definition of a Buchsbaum curve in terms of liaison which, while not the usual definition, is shown in [GMV] and [BSV] to be equivalent to it. From this point of view we mention some things that are known about special cases and then we recall a technique introduced in [M1] to attack the general case.

The second section contains two applications of this technique. First, we give a geometric consequence of the Buchsbaum property by proving that every Buchsbaum curve is connected except for the curve consisting of two skew lines. Second, we give an example of two curves, each a configuration of lines on a quadric plus two additional lines, which are extremely similar in an important way (their Hartshorne-Rao modules have the same dimension in each component) but we show that one is Buchsbaum and the other is not.

§1 Liaison and the Buchsbaum Property

Throughout this paper k shall denote an algebraically closed field, $S = k[X_0, \dots, X_3]$ and $\mathbb{P}^3 = \mathbb{P}^3_k$. By a curve we mean a closed one-dimensional subscheme of \mathbb{P}^3 which is locally Cohen-Macaulay and equidimensional. Finally, if M is a graded S -module then $M^v = \text{Hom}_k(M, k)$ is the dual module. We have in particular $M^v_n = M_{-n}$.

Two curves C and C' are said to be directly linked by a complete intersection X , denoted $C \sim_X C'$, if $I(X) = I(C) + I(C')$. This "usually" means (if C and C' have no common component) that $C \cup C' = X$. This notion generates an equivalence relation among curves in \mathbb{P}^3 called liaison, and we write $C \sim C'$ when C and C' are in the same liaison (equivalence) class. If C and C' are linked in an even number of steps then we say that they are evenly linked, and similarly for C and C' to be oddly linked.