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The aim of this paper is to classify map germs $\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}, 0\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and germs of curve singularities in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ given by an equation of the type $f=\left(x^{p}+y^{q}\right)^{2}+$ $\Sigma_{i q+j p>2 p q} a_{i j} x^{i} y^{j}=0$ with a fixed Milnor number $\mu(f)=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[[x, y]] /$ $(\partial f / \partial x, \partial f / \partial y)$. Here we always suppose $p<q$ and $\operatorname{gcd}(p, q)=1$.

The moduli space $M_{p, q, \mu}$ of the map germs described above is an affine Zariski-open subset of $\mathbb{C}^{2(p-1)(q-1)-p-q+2+[q / p]}$ devided by a suitable action of $\mu_{2 p q}$ (the group of $2 p q$-roots of unity) depending on $\mu(f)$.

The moduli space $T_{p, q, \mu}$ of all plane curve singularities described above (which is the moduli space of all plane curve singularities with the semigroup $\langle 2 p, 2 q, \mu-2(p-1)(q-1)+1\rangle$ if $\mu$ is even) is $\mathbb{C}^{(p-2)(q-2)+[q / p]-1}$ devided by a suitable action of $\mu_{d}, d=\mu-(2 p-1)(2 q-1)$.

In both cases we also get an algebraic universal family. It turns out that the Tjurina-number $\tau(f)=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[[x, y]] /(f, \partial f / \partial x, \partial f / \partial y)=\mu(f)-(p-1)(q-1)$ depends only on $\mu(f)$ and $p$ and $q$.

Constructing the moduli spaces we use the graduation of $\mathbb{C}[[x, y]]$ defined by $p, q: \operatorname{deg} x^{i} y^{j}=i q+j p$.

We use the following idea to construct the moduli spaces: Let $\mu=(2 p-1)$ $(2 q-1)+d$. We prove that for all $f$ of the above type we can choose the same monomial base of $\mathbb{C}[[x, y]] /(\partial f / \partial x, \partial f / \partial y)$ (Lemma 2). We choose $\alpha, \beta$ and that $\alpha<p, \alpha q+\beta p=2 p q+d$. Hence $\mu\left(f_{0}\right)=\mu$ with $f_{0}=\left(x^{p}+y^{q}\right)^{2}+x^{\alpha} y^{\beta}$. Then we consider a universal $\mu$-constant unfolding of $f_{0}$ as a "global" family (Lemma 3). The parameter space $U$ of that unfolding is an affine open subset of $\mathbb{C}^{2(p-1)(q-1)-p-q+2+[q / p]}$. The group $\mu_{2 p q}$ acts on $U$ and $M_{p, q, \mu}=U / \mu_{2 p q}$.

To construct $T_{p, q, \mu}$ we consider the Kodaira-Spencer map of the universal $\mu$-constant unfolding. The Kernel of the Kodaira-Spencer map is a Lie-algebra acting on $U$. The integral manifolds of that Lie-algebra are the analytically trivial subfamilies of the unfolding.

We choose a suitable section transversal to those integral manifolds, which turns out to be isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^{(p-2)(q-2)+[q / p]-1}$. The group $\mu_{d}$ acts on the corresponding family and we prove that $T_{p, q, \mu}=\mathbb{C}^{(p-2)(q-2)+[q / p]-1} / \mu_{d}$.

1. A normal form for map germs $\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}, 0\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with initial term $\left(x^{p}+y^{q}\right)^{2}$

LEMMA 1. Let

$$
f=\left(x^{p}+y^{q}+\sum_{i q+j p>p q} h_{i j} x^{i} y^{j}\right)^{2}+\sum_{i q+j p \geqslant 2 p q+d} w_{i j} x^{i} y^{j}
$$

then $\mu(f) \geqslant(2 p-1)(2 q-1)+d$, and $\mu(f)=(2 p-1)(2 q-1)+d$ iff

$$
f_{d}:=\sum_{i q+j p=2 p q+d}(-1)^{[i / p]} w_{i j} \neq 0
$$

Proof. Either $f$ is irreducible or the components of $f$ have the same tangent direction. This implies that

$$
\mu(\tilde{f})=\mu(f)-2 p(2 p-1)
$$

where $f$ is the blowing up

$$
\begin{aligned}
f=\frac{f(x y, y)}{y^{2 p}}= & \left(x^{p}+y^{q-p}+\sum h_{i j} x^{i} y^{i+j-p}\right)^{2}+\sum w_{i j} x^{i} y^{i+j-2 p} \\
= & \left(x^{p}+y^{q-p}+\sum_{i(q-p)+j p>(q-p) p} h_{i, j-i+p} x^{i} y^{j}\right)^{2}+ \\
& +\sum_{i(q-p)+j p \geqslant 2(q-p) p+d} w_{i, j-i+2 p} x^{i} y^{j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using induction we may assume that

$$
\mu(\widetilde{f}) \geqslant(2 p-1)(2(q-p)-1)+d
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu(\tilde{f}) & =(2 p-1)(2(q-p)-1)+\dot{d} \text { iff } 0 \neq \sum_{i q+j p=2 p q+d}(-1)^{[i / p]} w_{i j} \\
& =\sum_{i(q-p)+j p=2(p-q) p+d}(-1)^{[i / p]} w_{i, j-i+2 p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This yields the if part of the result. Now if $f$ is as above and $\mu(f)>(2 p-1)$ $(2 q-1)+d$, the condition $f_{d}=0$ says that $\left(x^{p}+y^{q}\right)$ divides $\Sigma_{i q+j p=2 p q+d} w_{i j} x^{i} y^{j}$, and adding $-\frac{1}{2} \Sigma_{i q+j p=2 p q+d} w_{i j} x^{i} y^{j}$ to the first part of $f$ one gets $f=$ $\left(x^{p}+y^{q}+\cdots\right)^{2}+$ terms of degree greater than $2 p q+d$. Continuing this way, we get the result.

LEMMA 2. Let $f=\left(x^{p}+y^{q}\right)^{2}+\Sigma_{i q+j p>2 p q} h_{i j} x^{i} y^{j}$ and $\mu(f)=(2 p-1)$ $(2 q-1)+d$. Let $\gamma, \delta$ such that $\gamma q+\delta p=3 p q-q-p+d, \gamma<p$. Let $B=\{(i, j) \in$ $\left.N^{2} / i<2 p-1, j<q-1\right\} \cup\left\{(i, j) \in N^{2} / i<p, j<q\right\} \cup\left\{(i, j),(i, j) \in N^{2} / i<\gamma, j<\right.$ $\delta+q\}$. Then $\left\{x^{i} y^{j}\right\}_{(i, j) \in B}$ is a base of $\mathbb{C}[[x, y]] /(\partial f / \partial x, \partial f / \partial y)$.

Proof. We use the algorithm of Mora (cf. [3]) to compute a Groebner base of the ideal $(\partial f / \partial x, \partial f / \partial y)$. We consider $\mathbb{C}[[x, y]]$ as a graded ring with $\operatorname{deg} x=q$, $\operatorname{deg} y=p$. Let $f_{1}=1 / 2 p(\partial f / \partial x)$ and $f_{2}=1 / 2 q(\partial f / \partial y)$.

Consider $s\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)=y^{q-1} f_{1}-x^{p-1} f_{2}$ and let $f_{3}$ be the reduction of $s\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)$ $=y^{q-1} f_{1}-x^{p-1} f_{2}$ with respect to the initial terms $x^{2 p-1}$ resp. $x^{p} y^{q-1}$ of $f_{1}$ resp. $f_{2}$, i.e.

$$
\begin{aligned}
s\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right) & =f_{3}+h_{1} f_{1}+h_{2} f_{2} \\
f_{3} & =\sum_{\gamma_{i}<p} l_{i} x^{\gamma_{i}} y^{\delta_{i}} \\
q \gamma_{i}+p \delta_{i} & =3 p q-q-p+i
\end{aligned}
$$

and the initial terms of $h_{1}$ resp. $h_{2}$ have degree $>p q-p$ resp. $>p q-q . f_{3} \neq 0$ because of $\mu(f)<\infty$. Let $k$ be the minimal such that $l_{k} \neq 0$, i.e. $l_{k} x^{\gamma_{k}} y^{\delta_{k}}$ is the initial term of $f_{3}$. Consider now

$$
\begin{aligned}
s\left(f_{2}, f_{3}\right) & =l_{k} y^{\delta_{k}-q+1} f_{2}-x^{p-\gamma_{k}} f_{3} \\
& =l_{k} y^{\delta_{k}+q}+\text { terms of degree }>p \delta_{k}+p q \\
& =f_{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

It is not difficult to see that the reductions of $s\left(f_{1}, f_{3}\right)$ and $s\left(f_{i}, f_{4}\right) i=1,2,3$ with respect to the initial terms of $f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}, f_{4}$ are zero, i.e. $f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}, f_{4}$ is a

Groebner base of $(\partial f / \partial x, \partial f / \partial y)$. This implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\{x^{i} y^{j}\right\}_{(i, j) \in B^{\prime}}, B^{\prime}= & \{(i, j), i<2 p-1, j<q-1\} \cup\{(i, j), \\
& \left.i<p, j<\delta_{k}\right\} \cup\left\{(i, j), i<\gamma_{k}, j<\delta_{k}+q\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

is a base of $\mathbb{C}[[x, y]] /(\partial f / \partial x, \partial f / \partial y)$.


This implies $\mu(f)=(p-1)(q-1)+q \gamma_{k}+p \delta_{k}$ and therefore $\gamma=\gamma_{k}$ and $\delta=\delta_{k}$ and $B=B^{\prime}$.

LEMMA 3. Let $f=\left(x^{p}+y^{q}\right)^{2}+\sum_{i q+j p>2 p q} a_{i j} x^{i} y^{j}$ and $\mu(f)=(2 p-1)$ $(2 q-1)+d$.

Let $\gamma, \delta$ be defined by

$$
\gamma<p \quad \text { and } \quad \gamma q+\delta p=3 p q-q-p+d
$$

Let $B_{0}=\{(i, j), i q+j p>p q, i \leqslant p-2, j \leqslant q-2\} ;$

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{1}= & \{(i, j), i q+j p \geqslant 2 p q+d, i<p, j<\delta\} \\
& \cup\{(i, j), i q+j p \geqslant 2 p q+d, i<\gamma, j<\delta+q\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

There is an automorphism $\varphi: \mathbb{C}[[x, y]] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[[x, y]]$ such that

$$
f(\varphi)=\left(x^{p}+y^{q}+\sum_{(i, j) \in B_{0}} h_{i j} x^{i} y^{j}\right)^{2}+\sum_{(i, j) \in B_{1}} w_{i j} x^{i} y^{j}
$$

for suitable $h_{i j}, w_{i j} \in \mathbb{C}$.
Proof. Using Lemma 1 we may assume that

$$
f=\left(x^{p}+y^{q}+\sum_{i q+j p>p q} b_{i j} x^{i} y^{j}\right)^{2}+\sum_{i q+j p \geqslant 2 p q+d} c_{i j} x^{i} y^{j} .
$$

Assume that there is an automorphism $\varphi^{(k)}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
f\left(\varphi^{(k)}\right)= & \left(x^{p}+y^{q}+\sum_{(i, j) \in B_{0}} h_{i j}^{(k)} x^{i} y^{j}+\sum_{i q+j p \geqslant p q+k} b_{i j}^{(k)} x^{i} y^{j}\right)^{2}+ \\
& +\sum_{(i, j) \in B_{1}} w_{i j}^{(k)} x^{i} y^{j}+\sum_{i q+j p \geqslant 2 p q+k} c_{i j}^{(k)} x^{i} y^{j},
\end{aligned}
$$

$\varphi^{(1)}=$ identity.
Now

$$
\sum_{i q+j p=2 p q+k} c_{i j}^{(k)} x^{i} y^{j}=\left(x^{p}+y^{q}\right) H+\sum_{i q+j p=2 p q+k}(-1)^{[i / p]} c_{i j}^{(k)} x^{i 0} y^{j_{0}}
$$

for a suitable homogeneous $H$ of degree $p q+k$ and $i_{0}<p, i_{0} q+j_{0} p=2 p q+k$.
If $\Sigma_{i q+j p>2 p q+k}(-1)^{[i / p]} c_{i j}^{(k)} \neq 0$ and $\left(i_{0}, j_{0}\right) \notin B_{1}$ then $k \geqslant p q-q-p+d$ (Lemma 1) and $j_{0} \geqslant \delta, i_{0} \geqslant \gamma$ or $j_{0} \geqslant \delta+q$.

Let $\alpha, \beta$ be defined by $q \alpha+p \beta=2 p q+d, \alpha<p$, then $w_{\alpha \beta} \neq 0$. Notice that $\alpha-1 \equiv \gamma \bmod p$ and $\beta-1 \equiv \delta \bmod q$.

Let

$$
g:=x^{p}+y^{q}+\sum_{(i, j) \in B_{0}} h_{i j}^{(k)} x^{i} y^{j}+\sum_{i q+j p \geqslant p q+k} b_{i j}^{(k)} x^{i} y^{j}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega & :=e \cdot x^{\xi} y^{\eta}\left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial y} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}-\frac{\partial g}{\partial x} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right), \xi q+\eta p=k-p q+p+q-d \\
e & :=\frac{1}{(\alpha q+\beta p) w_{\alpha \beta}} \cdot \sum_{i p+j q=2 p q+k}(-1)^{[i / p]-[\alpha-1+\xi / p]+1} c_{i j}^{(k)}
\end{aligned}
$$

with $(\xi, \eta)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}\left(i_{0}-\gamma, j_{0}-\delta\right) \quad \text { if } j_{0} \geqslant \delta, \quad i_{0} \geqslant \gamma \\ \left(i_{0}-\gamma+p, j_{0}-\delta-q\right) \quad \text { if } j_{0} \geqslant \delta+q .\end{array}\right.$
Let $\psi: \mathbb{C}[[x, y]] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[[x, y]]$ the automorphisms corresponding to the vector field $\omega$, then $g(\psi)=g$.

Hence,

$$
f\left(\psi \circ \varphi^{(k)}\right)=g^{2}+\sum_{(i, j) \in B_{0}} w_{i j}^{(k)} x^{i} y^{j}+\sum_{i q+j p \geqslant 2 p q+k} \bar{c}_{i j}^{(k)} x^{i} y^{j}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i q+j p=2 p q+k}(-1)^{[i / p] \bar{c} \bar{c}_{i j}^{(k)}} \\
& =\sum_{i q+j p=2 p q+k}(-1)^{[i / p]} c_{i j}^{(k)}+(-1)^{[\alpha-1+\xi / p]}(\alpha q+\beta p) w_{\alpha \beta} \cdot e .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\left(i_{0}, j_{0}\right) \notin B_{1}$ we may assume now that $\Sigma_{i q+j p=2 p q+k}(-1)^{[i / p]} c_{i j}^{(k)}=0$.
Let $g_{1}:=g+\frac{1}{2} H$ and

$$
\sum_{i q+j p=p q+k} b_{i j}^{(k)} x^{i} y^{j}+\frac{1}{2} H+m_{k} \frac{\partial g_{1}}{\partial x}+n_{k} \frac{\partial g_{1}}{\partial y}=\sum_{(i, j) \in B_{0}} d_{i j}^{(k)} x^{i} y^{j} .
$$

The degree of the initial part of $m_{k}$ resp. $n_{k}$ is $q+k$ resp. $p+k$.
We define $\varphi^{(k+1)}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi^{(k+1)}(x)=\varphi^{(k)}(x)+m_{k} \\
& \varphi^{(k+1)}(y)=\varphi^{(k)}(y)+n_{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h_{i j}^{(k+1)}=h_{i j}^{(k)}+d_{i j}^{(k)} \\
& w_{i j}^{(k+1)}=w_{i j}^{(k)} \text { if }(i, j) \neq\left(i_{0}, j_{0}\right) \\
& w_{i_{0}, j_{0}}^{(k+1)}=w_{i_{0}, j_{0}}^{(k)}+\sum_{i q+j p=2 p q+k}(-1)^{[i / p]} c_{i j}^{(k)} \quad \text { if }\left(i_{0}, j_{0}\right) \in B_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
f\left(\varphi^{(k+1)}\right)= & \left(x^{p}+y^{q}+\sum_{(i, j) \in B_{0}} h_{i j}^{(k+1)} x^{i} y^{j}+\sum_{i q+j p \geqslant p q+k+1} b_{i j}^{(k+1)} x^{i} y^{j}\right)^{2}+ \\
& +\sum_{(i, j) \in B_{1}} w_{i j}^{(i+1)} x^{i} y^{j}+\sum_{i q+j p \geqslant 2 p q+k+1} c_{i j}^{(i+1)} x^{i} y^{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

for suitable $b_{i j}^{(k+1)}, c_{i j}^{(k+1)}$.
LEMMA 4. Let

$$
f_{t}=\left(x^{p}+y^{q}\right)^{2}+\sum_{i q+j p>2 p q} a_{i j}(t) x^{i} y^{j}, a_{i j}(t) \in \mathbb{C}[t]
$$

and $\mu\left(f_{t}\right)=(2 p-1)(2 q-1)+d$ for $t \in \mathbb{C}$.

Let $\gamma, \delta, B_{0}, B_{1}$ be as in Lemma 3. There is a $\mathbb{C}[t]$-automorphism $\varphi_{t}: \mathbb{C}[t][[x, y]] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[t][[x, y]]$ such that

$$
f_{t}\left(\varphi_{t}\right)=\left(x^{p}+y^{q}+\sum_{(i, j) \in B_{0}} h_{i j}(t) x^{i} y^{j}\right)^{2}+\sum_{(i, j) \in B_{1}} w_{i j}(t) x^{i} y^{j}
$$

for suitable $h_{i j}(t), w_{i j}(t) \in \mathbb{C}[t]$.
The proof is similar to that of Lemma 3.
Let us consider the family

$$
F(x, y, H, W)=\left(x^{p}+y^{q}+\sum_{(i, j) \in B_{0}} H_{i j} x^{i} y^{j}\right)^{2}+\sum_{(i, j) \in B_{1}} W_{i j} x^{i} y^{j}
$$

depending on the parameters $H=\left(H_{i j}\right)_{(i, j) \in B_{0}}, W=\left(W_{i j}\right)_{(i, j) \in B_{1}}$ and define $N=$ $\# B_{0}+\# B_{1}$, then $\mu(F)=(2 p-1)(2 q-1)+d$ on the open set $U$ defined by $W_{\alpha \beta} \neq 0, \alpha q+\beta p=2 p q+d$, in $\mathbb{C}^{N}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[H, W]$. Notice that $N=2(p-1)$ $(q-1)-p-q+2+[q / p]$ is not depending on $d!$

The group of $2 p q$-roots of unity acts on $U$ :

$$
\lambda \in \mu_{2 p q}, \lambda \circ\left(\left(h_{i j}\right),\left(w_{i j}\right)\right):=\left(\left(\lambda^{i q+j p-p q} h_{i j}\right),\left(\lambda^{i q+j p-2 p q} w_{i j}\right)\right)
$$

THEOREM 1. $U / \mu_{2 p q}$ is the moduli space of all functions

$$
f=\left(x^{p}+y^{q}\right)^{2}+\sum_{i q+j p>2 p q} a_{i j} x^{i} y^{j}
$$

with $\mu(f)=(2 p-1)(2 q-1)+d$ and $F$ is the universal family.
Proof. Using Lemma 3 we have to prove the following
LEMMA 5. Let $\varphi$ be an automorphism $\varphi: \mathbb{C}[[x, y]] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[[x, y]]$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(\varphi(x), \varphi(y), \bar{h}, \bar{w})=F(x, y, h, w) \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $(\bar{h}, \bar{w}),(h, w) \in U \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{N}$ then $\lambda \cdot(\bar{h}, \bar{w})=(h, w)$ for a suitable $\lambda \in \mu_{2 p q}$.
Proof. Let $\bar{x}:=\varphi(x), \quad \bar{y}:=\varphi(y)$ then grouping the squared part of $(*)$ one gets:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(x^{p}+y^{q}+\bar{x}^{p}+\bar{y}^{q}+\sum \bar{h}_{i j} \bar{x}^{i} \bar{y}^{j}+\sum h_{i j} x^{i} y^{j}\right) \times \\
& \quad \times\left(x^{p}+y^{q}-\bar{x}^{p}-\bar{y}^{q}-\sum \bar{h}_{i j} \bar{x}^{i} \bar{y}^{j}+\sum h_{i j} x^{i} y^{j}\right) \\
& \quad=\sum \bar{w}_{i j} \bar{x}^{i} \bar{y}^{j}-\sum w_{i j} x^{i} y^{j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This equation implies obviously that the degree of the initial term of $\varphi(x)$ is $\geqslant q$ and

$$
\bar{x}=\lambda^{q}\left(x+\sum_{i q+j p>q} a_{i j}^{(1)} x^{i} y^{j}\right) \quad \bar{y}=\lambda^{p}\left(y+\sum_{i q+j p>p} a_{i j}^{(2)} x^{i} y^{j}\right), \lambda \in \mu_{2 p q} .
$$

We may assume that $\lambda=1$ and prove $(\bar{h}, \bar{w})=(h, w)$.
Let the degree of the leading parts of both sides of the above equation be $2 p q+m$ and let $r$ be the degree of $\varphi$, i.e. $a_{i j}^{(1)}=0$ if $i q+j p<q+r, a_{i j}^{(2)}=0$ if $i q+j p<p+r$ and $a_{i j}^{(1)} \neq 0$ or $a_{i j}^{(2)} \neq 0$ for suitable $i, j$ with $i q+j p=q+r$ resp. $i q+j p=p+r$.

1. Step. We prove that
(a) $r \geqslant p q-p-q$

$$
\sum_{i q+j p=q+r} a_{i j}^{(1)} x^{i} y^{j}=\frac{1}{p} y^{q-1} \cdot k, \quad \sum_{i q+j p=p+r} a_{i j}^{(2)} x^{i} y^{j}=-\frac{1}{q} x^{p-1} \cdot k
$$

(b) $h=\bar{h}$ and $w_{i j}=\bar{w}_{i j}$ if $i q+j p<3 p q-p-q+d$.

First of all $m \geqslant d+r$ because the leading part of the left side of the equation is divisible by $x^{p}+y^{q}$ and $m<d+r$ would imply that the leading part of the right side is a monomial. This implies $w_{i j}=\bar{w}_{i j}$ if $i q+j p<2 p q+d+r$. Now $h_{i j}=\bar{h}_{i j}$ if $i q+j p<p q+r$. Otherwise the leading part of the left side of the equation would be $2\left(x^{p}+y^{q}\right)\left(h_{i j}-\bar{h}_{i j}\right) x^{i} y^{j}$ for some $i, j$ with $i q+j p<p q+r$ and therefore of degree $2 p q+r<2 p q+m$.

Now suppose $r<p q-p-q$. Then there is at most one monomial of degree $p+r$ resp. $q+r$.

If $i q+j p=p q+r$ for some $(i, j) \in B_{0}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& q i_{0}+p j_{0}=q+r \\
& q i_{1}+p j_{1}=p+r
\end{aligned}
$$

then

$$
\left(h_{i j}-\bar{h}_{i j}\right) x^{i} y^{j}-p a_{i_{0} j_{0}}^{(1)} x^{i_{0}+p-1} y^{j_{0}}-q a_{i_{1} j_{1}}^{(2)} x^{i_{1}} y^{j_{1}+q-1}=0
$$

otherwise the leading part of the left side of the equation would have degree $2 p q+r<2 p q+m$.

But $(i, j) \in B_{0}$, i.e. $i<p-1$ and $j<q-1$. This implies $h_{i j}=\bar{h}_{i j}, a_{i_{0} j_{0}}^{(1)}=a_{i_{1} j_{1}}^{(2)}=0$ (because of $r<p q-p-q$ we have $i_{1}<p-1$ ). This is a contradiction since $a_{i_{0} j_{0}}^{(1)} \neq 0$ or $a_{i_{1} j_{1}}^{(2)} \neq 0$ by the definition of $r$.

Similarly one gets a contradiction if there is no $(i, j) \in B_{0}$ with $q i+p j=p+r$, resp. no $i_{0}, j_{0}$ with $q i_{0}+p j_{0}=q+r$ resp. no $i_{1}, j_{1}$ with $q i_{1}+p j_{1}=p+r$.

This proves that $r \geqslant p q-p-q$. With the same method we obtain

$$
\sum_{i q+j p=p+r} a_{i j}^{(2)} x^{i} y^{j}=-\frac{1}{q} x^{p-1} k \quad \text { and } \quad \sum_{i q+j p=q+r} a_{i j}^{(1)} x^{i} y^{j}=\frac{1}{p} y^{q-1} k
$$

(b) is clear now by the choice of $B_{0}$ and the fact that $r \geqslant p q-p-q$.
2. Step. We prove that $r \geqslant 2 p q-p-q$.

Assume that $r<2 p q-p-q$. Then $\operatorname{deg} k<p q$, i.e., $k$ is a monomial.
The leading part of the left side of the above equation is divisible by $x^{p}+y^{q}$.
The leading part $L$ of the right side is

$$
\left(\bar{w}_{i j}-w_{i j}\right) x^{i} y^{j}+\bar{w}_{\alpha \beta} \cdot k\left(\frac{\alpha}{p} x^{\alpha-1} y^{\beta+q-1}-\frac{\beta}{q} x^{\alpha+p-1} y^{\beta-1}\right)
$$

if $i q+j p=2 p q+m$ for some $(i, j) \in B_{1}$ or

$$
\bar{w}_{\alpha \beta} \cdot k\left(\frac{\alpha}{p} x^{\alpha-1} y^{\beta+q-1}-\frac{\beta}{q} x^{\alpha+p-1} y^{\beta-1}\right)
$$

if $i q+j p \neq 2 p q+m$ for $(i, j) \in B_{1}$.
Let $k=\kappa \cdot x^{\xi} y^{\eta}$. If $\alpha+\xi-1<p$, then $i=\alpha+\xi-1$ and $j=\beta+\eta+q-1$. If $\alpha+\xi-1 \geqslant p$, then $i=\alpha+\xi-1-p$ and $j=\beta+\eta+2 q-1$. But $(\alpha+\xi-1, \beta+$ $\eta+q-1) \notin B_{1}$ and $(\alpha+\xi-1-p, \beta+\eta+2 q-1) \notin B_{1}$. This implies $L=$ $\bar{w}_{\alpha \beta} \cdot k x^{\alpha-1} y^{\beta-1}\left((\alpha / p) y^{q}-(\beta / p) x^{p}\right)$ which is not divisible by $x^{p}+y^{q}$. This is a contradiction and therefore $r \geqslant 2 p q-p-q$.

Now $i q+j p \leqslant 4 p q-2 p-2 q+d$ for $(i, j) \in B_{1}$ then $w_{i j}=\bar{w}_{i j}$ for all $(i, j) \in B_{1}$.

## 2. The construction of the moduli space

We will construct the moduli space of all plane curve singularities given by an equation $\left(x^{p}+y^{q}\right)^{2}+\Sigma_{i q+j p>2 p q} a_{i j} x^{i} y^{j}=0$ with fixed Milnor number $\mu$.

For $\mu$ being even we get especially the moduli space for all irreducible plane curve singularities with the semigroup $\Gamma=\langle 2 p, 2 q, \mu-2(p-1)(q-1)+1\rangle$.

We use the family

$$
V(F) \subseteq U \times \mathbb{C}^{2} \rightarrow U
$$

constructed in Theorem 1.
$U$ admits a $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-action defined by

$$
\lambda \circ\left(\left(h_{i j}\right),\left(w_{i j}\right)\right):=\left(\left(\lambda^{i q+j p-p q} h_{i j}\right),\left(\lambda^{i q+j p-2 p q} w_{i j}\right)\right) .
$$

## We get

$$
F\left(\lambda^{q} x, \lambda^{p} y, h, w\right)=\lambda^{2 p q} F(x, y, \lambda \circ(h, w)) .
$$

If $\mu=(2 p-1)(2 q-1)+d$ and $\alpha q+\beta p=2 p q+d, \alpha<p$, then $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{N}$ was defined by $W_{\alpha, \beta} \neq 0$.

For the construction of the moduli space it is enough to consider the restriction of our family to the transversal section to the orbits of the $C^{*}$-action defined by $W_{\alpha, \beta}=1$.

Let $W^{\prime}$ be defined by $W=\left(W_{\alpha, \beta}, W^{\prime}\right)$ and $G\left(x, y, H, W^{\prime}\right)=F\left(x, y, H, 1, W^{\prime}\right)$. The parameter space of $G$ is $\mathbb{C}^{N-1}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}\left[H, W^{\prime}\right]$.

The group $\mu_{d}$ of $d$ th roots of unity acts on the family

$$
V(G) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{2} \times \mathbb{C}^{N-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{N-1}
$$

induced by the above $C^{*}$-action

$$
G\left(\lambda^{q} x, \lambda^{p} y, h, w^{\prime}\right)=\lambda^{2 p q} G(x, y, \lambda \circ(h, w)) \quad \lambda \in \mu_{d} .
$$

LEMMA 6. Let $\varphi: \mathbb{C}[[x, y]] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[[x, y]]$ be an automorphism and $u \in \mathbb{C}[[x, y]]$ a unit such that

$$
u \cdot G\left(\varphi(x), \varphi(y), h, w^{\prime}\right)=G\left(x, y, \bar{h}, \bar{w}^{\prime}\right)
$$

then there is a $\lambda \in \mu_{d}$ such that $\left(h, w^{\prime}\right)$ and $\lambda \circ\left(\bar{h}, \bar{w}^{\prime}\right)$ are contained in an analytically trivial subfamily of $V(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{N-1}$.

Proof. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi(x)=\sum a_{i j}^{(1)} x^{i} y^{j} \quad \text { and } \quad \varphi(y)=\sum a_{i j}^{(2)} x^{i} y^{j}, \quad u=\sum u_{i j} x^{i} y^{j} . \\
& u \cdot G\left(\varphi(x), \varphi(y), h, w^{\prime}\right)=G\left(x, y, \overline{w^{\prime}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

implies
(1) $a_{i j}^{(1)}=0$ if $i q+j p<q$
(2) $a_{1,0}^{(1) 2 p}=a_{0,1}^{(2) 2 q}=a_{1,0}^{(1) p} a_{0,1}^{(2) q}=u_{0,0}^{-1}$.

Let $a_{1,0}^{(1)}=\lambda^{q}$ and $a_{0,1}^{(2)}=\lambda^{p}$.
We will prove later that $\lambda^{d}=1$.

Now we may assume that $\lambda=1$ and prove that $\left(h, w^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(\bar{h}, \bar{w}^{\prime}\right)$ are contained in an analytically trivial subfamily of $V(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{N-1}$.

We choose
(1) $u(t) \in \mathbb{C}[t][[x, y]]$ with the following properties $u(0)=1, u(1)=u$ and $u$ is a unity for all $t \in \mathbb{C}$.
(2) $\varphi_{t}: \mathbb{C}[t][[x, y]] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[t][[x, y]]$ with the following properties $\varphi_{0}=$ identity, $\varphi_{1}=\varphi$ and $\varphi_{t}$ is an automorphism of positive degree for all $t \in \mathbb{C}$.
Let $H(t):=u(t) G\left(\varphi_{t}(x), \varphi_{t}(y), h, w^{\prime}\right)$ and apply Lemma 4. There is an $\mathbb{C}[t]$-automorphism $\Phi_{t}: \mathbb{C}[t][[x, y]] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[t][[x, y]]$ such that

$$
H\left(\Phi_{t}\right)=F(x, y, h(t), w(t))
$$

for suitable $h_{i j}(t), w_{i j}(t) \in \mathbb{C}[t]$ with the property

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h(0)=h \\
& w(0)=\left(1, w^{\prime}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

$H\left(\Phi_{t}\right)$ has a constant Milnor number, i.e. $w_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ has to be constant. This implies

$$
H\left(\Phi_{t}\right)=G\left(x, y, h(t), w^{\prime}(t)\right)
$$

But,

$$
G\left(x, y, h(1), w^{\prime}(1)\right)=H\left(\Phi_{1}\right)=G\left(\Phi_{1}(x), \Phi_{1}(y), \bar{h}, \bar{w}^{\prime}\right) .
$$

Using Lemma 5 and the fact that $\Phi_{1}$ has positive degree we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{h}=h(1) \\
& \bar{w}^{\prime}=w^{\prime}(1),
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e. $\left(h, w^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(\bar{h}, \bar{w}^{\prime}\right)$ are in the trivial family

$$
G\left(x, y, h(t), w^{\prime}(t)\right)=u\left(\Phi_{t}\right) G\left(\Phi_{t} \varphi_{t}, h, w^{\prime}\right) .
$$

To finish the proof of Lemma 6 we have to prove
LEMMA 7. Let

$$
f_{k}=\left(x^{p}+y^{q}+\sum_{i q+j p>p q} a_{i j}^{(k)} x^{i} y^{j}\right)^{2}+x^{\alpha} y^{\beta}+\sum_{i q+j p>2 p q+d} b_{i j}^{(k)} x^{i} y^{j}, \quad k=1,2
$$

$\alpha<p, \alpha q+\beta p=2 p q+d$.

Let $\varphi: \mathbb{C}[[x, y]] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[[x, y]]$ be an automorphism with the property

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi(x)=\lambda^{q} x+\text { terms of degree }>q \\
& \varphi(y)=\lambda^{p} y+\text { terms of degree }>p
\end{aligned}
$$

and $u$ a unit, such that

$$
f_{1}(\varphi)=f_{2} \cdot u
$$

then $\lambda^{d}=1$.
Proof. $u=\lambda^{2 p q}+$ terms of higher degree.

$$
\begin{aligned}
u \cdot f_{2}= & \lambda^{2 p q}\left(x^{p}+y^{q}+\sum_{i q+j p>p q} \bar{a}_{i j}^{(2)} x^{i} y^{j}\right)^{2}+\lambda^{2 p q} x^{\alpha} y^{\beta}+\sum_{i q+j p>2 p q+d}{ }_{i j}^{(2)} x^{i} y^{j} \\
f_{1}(\varphi)= & \lambda^{2 p q}\left(x^{p}+y^{q}+\sum_{i q+j p>p q} \bar{a}_{i j}^{(1)} x^{i} y^{j}\right)^{2}+ \\
& +\lambda^{2 p q+d} x^{\alpha} y^{\beta}+\sum_{i q+j p \geqslant 2 p q+d} b_{i j}^{(1)} x^{i} y^{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

for suitable $\bar{a}_{i j}^{(k)}, b_{i j}^{k)}$.
This implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(2 x^{p}+2 y^{q}+\sum_{i q+j p>p q}\left(\bar{a}_{i j}^{(1)}+\bar{a}_{i j}^{(2)}\right) x^{i} y^{j}\right) \cdot \sum_{i q+j p>p q}\left(\bar{a}_{i j}^{(1)}-\bar{a}_{i j}^{(2)}\right) x^{i} y^{j} \\
& =\left(1-\lambda^{d}\right) x^{\alpha} y^{\beta}+\sum_{i q+j p>2 p q+d} \lambda^{-2 p q}\left(b_{i j}^{(2)}-\bar{b}_{i j}^{(1)}\right) x^{i} y^{j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Because the leading term of the left side of the equation is divisible by $x^{p}+y^{q}$, we get $\lambda^{d}=1$.

We consider now the Kodaira-Spencer map of the family

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{N-1}: \\
& \rho: \operatorname{Der}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}\left[H, W^{\prime}\right] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\left[H, W^{\prime}\right][[x, y]] /\left(G, \frac{\partial G}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial G}{\partial y}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

defined by

$$
\rho(\delta)=\operatorname{class}(\delta G) .
$$

The kernel of the Kodaira-Spencer map is a Lie-algebra $L$ and along the integral manifolds of $L$ the family is analytically trivial. We will choose a transversal section to the integral manifolds of $L$ and divide by the action of $\mu_{d}$ to get the moduli space. To describe this transversal section we choose a suitable subset of $B_{1}$ :

$$
B_{2}=\left\{(i, j) \in B_{1}, i \leqslant \gamma, j \leqslant \delta\right\} \cup\left\{(i, j) \in B_{1}, j \leqslant \delta-q\right\} .
$$



Let $M:=\# B_{0}+\# B_{2}=N-(p-1)(q-1)=(p-2)(q-2)+[q / p]-1$. Let $W^{\prime \prime}:=\left(W_{i j}\right)_{(i j) \in B_{2}}$ and $\mathbb{C}^{M}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}\left[H, W^{\prime \prime}\right\}$

$$
G_{u}\left(x, y, H, W^{\prime \prime}\right):=\left(x^{p}+y^{q}+\sum_{(i, j) \in B_{0}} H_{i j} x^{i} y^{j}\right)^{2}+x^{\alpha} y^{\beta}+\sum_{(i, j) \in B_{2}} W_{i j} x^{i} y^{j}
$$

As before $\mu_{d}$ acts on the family $V\left(G_{u}\right) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{2} \times \mathbb{C}^{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{M}$.
THEOREM 2. $\mathbb{C}^{M} / \mu_{d}$ is the moduli space of all plane curve singularities defined by an equation

$$
\left(x^{p}+y^{q}\right)^{2}+\sum_{i q+j p>2 p q} a_{i j} x^{i} y^{j}=0
$$

with Milnor numbers $\mu=(2 p-1)(2 q-1)+d$ and $G_{u}$ is the corresponding universal family.

Especially the Tjurina number $\tau=\mu-(p-1)(q-1)$ only depends on $\mu$ for these singularities.

COROLLARY. Let $\Gamma=\langle 2 p, 2 q, 2 p q+d\rangle$, $d$ odd, a semigroup.
Then $\mathbb{C}^{(p-2)(q-2)+[q / p]-1} / \mu_{d}$ is the moduli space of all irreducible plane curve singularities with the semigroup $\Gamma$.
$G_{u}$ is the corresponding universal family.
Proof. To prove the theorem we compute generators of the kernel of the

Kodaira-Spencer map.
Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G^{(0)}=x^{p}+y^{q}+\sum_{(i, j) \in B_{0}} H_{i j} x^{i} y^{j} \\
& G^{(1)}=x^{\alpha} y^{\beta}+\sum_{\substack{(i, j) \in B_{1} \\
i q+j p>2 p q+d}} W_{i j} x^{i} y^{j}, \quad \text { i.e. } \\
& G=G^{(0) 2}+G^{(1)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\delta \in \operatorname{Der}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}\left[H, W^{\prime}\right]$ be a vector field which belongs to the kernel of the Kodaira-Spencer map, i.e.

$$
\delta G \in\left(G, \frac{\partial G}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial G}{\partial y}\right) .
$$

Now

$$
\delta G=2 G^{(0)} \sum_{(i, j) \in B_{0}} \delta H_{i j} x^{i} y^{j}+\sum_{\substack{(i, j) \in B_{1} \\ i q+j p>2 p q+d}} \delta W_{i j} x^{i} y^{j}=S \cdot G \bmod \frac{\partial G}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial G}{\partial y}
$$

for a suitable $S \in \mathbb{C}\left[H, W^{\prime}\right][[x, y]]$.
We will associate to any monomial $x^{a} y^{b},(a, b) \neq(0,0)$, a vector field $\delta_{a, b} \in \operatorname{Der}_{c}\left[H, W^{\prime}\right]$ such that

$$
\delta_{a, b} G=x^{a} y^{b} G \bmod \left(\frac{\partial G}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial G}{\partial y}\right) .
$$

Obviously $\left\{\delta_{a, b}\right\}$ generate the kernel of the Kodaira-Spencer map as $\mathbb{C}\left[H, W^{\prime}\right]$ module.

Now consider

$$
x^{a} y^{b} G=x^{a} y^{b} G^{(0)^{2}}+x^{a} y^{b} G^{(1)}
$$

Let $x^{a} y^{b} G^{(0)}=\sum_{(i, j) \in B_{0}} E_{i j}^{a b} x^{i} y^{j}+L_{1}{\frac{\partial G^{(0)}}{\partial x}}^{(0)} L_{2}{\frac{\partial G^{(0)}}{\partial y}}^{(0)}$
for suitable $E_{i j}^{a b} \in \mathbb{C}\left[H, W^{\prime}\right], L_{1}, L_{2} \in \mathbb{C}\left[H, W^{\prime}\right][[x, y]]$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{1}=\frac{1}{p} x^{a+1} y^{b}+\text { terms of higher degree } \\
& L_{2}=\frac{1}{q} x^{a} y^{b+1}+\text { terms of higher degree }
\end{aligned}
$$

then

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{a} y^{b} G= & G^{(0)} \sum_{(i, j) \in B_{0}} E_{i j}^{a b} x^{i} y^{j}+x^{a} y^{b} G^{(1)}-\frac{1}{2} L_{1} \frac{\partial G^{(1)}}{\partial x}- \\
& -\frac{1}{2} L_{2} \frac{\partial G^{(1)}}{\partial y} \bmod \left(\frac{\partial G}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial G}{\partial y}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The leading term of

$$
x^{a} y^{b} G^{(1)}-\frac{1}{2} L_{1} \frac{\partial G^{(1)}}{\partial x}-\frac{1}{2} L_{2} \frac{\partial G^{(1)}}{\partial y}
$$

is $-(d / 2 p q) x^{\alpha+a} y^{\beta+b}$.

## Using Lemma 2 we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x^{a} y^{b} G^{(1)}-\frac{1}{2} L_{1} \frac{\partial G^{(1)}}{\partial x}-\frac{1}{2} L_{2} \frac{\partial G^{(1)}}{\partial y} \\
& =\sum_{\substack{i q+j, j \in B_{1} \\
i q+j p \geqslant 2 p q+d+a q+b p}} D_{i j}^{a b} x^{i} y^{j} \bmod \left(\frac{\partial G}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial G}{\partial y}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for suitable $D_{i j}^{a b} \in \mathbb{C}\left[H, W^{\prime}\right]$.
This implies

$$
x^{a} y^{b} G=G^{(0)} \sum_{(i, j) \in B_{0}} E_{i j}^{a b} x^{i} y^{j}+\sum_{\substack{(i, j) \in B_{1} \\ i q+j p \geqslant 2 p q+d+a q+b p}} D_{i j}^{a b} x^{i} y^{j} \bmod \left(\frac{\partial G}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial G}{\partial y}\right)
$$

We define for $(a, b) \neq(0,0)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta_{a, b}\left(H_{i j}\right):=\frac{1}{2} E_{i j}^{a b} \\
& \delta_{a, b}\left(W_{i j}\right):=D_{i j}^{a b}, \text { i.e., } \\
& \delta_{a, b}=\frac{1}{2} \sum E_{i j}^{a b} \frac{\partial}{\partial H_{i j}}+\sum D_{i j}^{a b} \frac{\partial}{\partial W_{i j}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The vector fields $\delta_{a, b}$ have the following properties:
(1) $\delta_{a, b}$ is zero if $a q+b p>2 p q-2 p-2 q$
(2) $\delta_{a, b}\left(W_{i j}\right)=0 \quad$ if $i q+j p<2 p q+d+a q+b p$
(3) $\delta_{a, b}\left(W_{i j}\right)=-d / 2 p q$ if $(i, j)=(\alpha+a, \beta+b)$ or $(i, j)=(\alpha+a-p, \beta+b+q)$ (in this case $i q+j p=2 p q+d+a q+b p$ ).
(4) $\delta_{a, b}\left(H_{i j}\right)=0$ for all $(i, j) \in B_{0}$ if $a q+b p \geqslant p q-2 p-2 q$
(5) For $i q+j p \geqslant 3 p q+d-q$ and $(i, j) \in B_{1}$ there is $\left(a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right)$ such that

$$
(i, j)=\left(\alpha+a^{\prime}, \beta+b^{\prime}\right) \text { or }(i, j)=\left(\alpha+a^{\prime}-p, \beta+b^{\prime}+q\right)
$$

i.e. $\delta_{a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}}\left(W_{i j}\right)=-d / 2 p q$.
(6) For any $(a, b), a q+b p<p q-q$, always $(\alpha+a, \beta+b)$ or $(\alpha+a-p$, $\beta+b+q) \in B_{1}$, i.e. $\delta_{a, b}\left(W_{i j}\right)=-d / 2 p q$ for the corresponding $(i, j) \in B_{1}$.
(1) and (4) hold because of the fact that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& i q+j p \leqslant 2 p q-2 p-2 q \quad \text { if }(i, j) \in B_{0} \\
& i q+j p \leqslant 4 p q+d-2 p-2 q \quad \text { if }(i, j) \in B_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

(2) and (3) hold because of the fact that the leading term of $G^{(1)}$ has degree $2 p q+d$ and because of Lemma 2. To prove (5) we consider two cases

1. Case $i \geqslant \alpha-1$

In this case $(i, j) \in B_{1}$ implies $i \leqslant p-1$. But $i q+j p \geqslant 3 p q+d-q$ implies $\mathrm{j} \geqslant \beta$. Then $a^{\prime}=i-\alpha, b^{\prime}=j-\beta$ have the required properties. Notice that $i<\alpha-1$ and $i q+j p \geqslant 3 p q+d-q$ implies $(i, j) \notin B_{1}$
2 Case $i<\alpha-1$
Now $i q+j p \geqslant 3 p q+d-q$ implies $j \geqslant \beta+q$ then $a^{\prime}=p+i-\alpha, b^{\prime}=$ $j-\beta-q$ have the required properties. (6) is similar to (5):

We may assume that $(\alpha+a, \beta+b) \notin B_{1}$. This implies $2 p-3 \geqslant \alpha+a \geqslant p$ and $\alpha \geqslant 2$ because $b \leqslant q-2, a \leqslant p-2$. Suppose $(\alpha+a-p, \beta+b+q) \notin B_{1}$ then $\beta+b+q \geqslant \beta+2 q-1$, i.e. $b \geqslant q-1$, or $\alpha+a-p \geqslant \alpha-1$, i.e. $a \geqslant p-1$, but this is not possible.

For the coefficients to the vectorfields $\delta_{a, b}$ we get, because of (1)-(6), the following matrix:


This implies that the kernel of the Kodaira-Spencer map is generated (as $\mathbb{C}\left[H, W^{\prime}\right]$-module) by the vector fields

$$
\delta_{i j}^{\prime}:=\frac{\partial}{\partial w_{i j}} \quad(i, j) \in B_{1}, \quad i q+j p \geqslant 3 p q+d-q
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{l, m}^{\prime}=- & -\frac{p q}{d} \sum_{(i, j) \in B_{0}} E_{i j}^{a b} \frac{\partial}{\partial H_{i j}}+\frac{\partial}{\partial W_{l, m}}+ \\
& +\sum_{\substack{(i, j) \in B_{1} \\
2 p q+d+a q+b p<i q+j p<3 p q+d-q}}\left(-\frac{2 p q}{d}\right) D_{i j}^{a b} \frac{\partial}{\partial W_{i j}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\times(l, m) \in B_{1} \backslash\left(B_{2} \cup\{(\alpha, \beta)\}, l q+m p<3 p q+d-q,\right.
$$

with $(l, m)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}(\alpha+a, \beta+b) \quad \text { if } l \geqslant \alpha \\ (\alpha+a-p, \beta+b+q) \quad \text { else. }\end{array}\right.$
The vectorfields $\delta_{l, m}^{\prime}$ act nilpotentely on $\mathbb{C}\left[H, W^{\prime}\right]$. Namely, if we consider $\mathbb{C}\left[H, W^{\prime}\right]$ as a graded algebra defined by $\operatorname{deg} H_{i j}=p q-i q-j p<0$, $\operatorname{deg} W_{i j}=2 p q-i q-j p<0$ then the $E_{i j}^{a b}$ resp. $D_{i j}^{a b}$ are polynomials in $\mathbb{C}\left[H, W^{\prime}\right]$ of degree $\geqslant a q+b p+p q-i q-j p$ resp. $\geqslant a q+b p+2 p q-i q-j p$. Notice that their degree is always $\leqslant 0$. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}\left[H, W^{\prime}\right]$ be any polynomial of degree $0 \geqslant \operatorname{deg} A=s(\operatorname{deg} A=$ minimum of the degrees of the monomials in $A)$. Then the degree of $\delta_{l m}^{\prime}(A)>s$. Therefore there is some $n$ with $\delta_{l m}^{\prime n}(A)=0$.

LEMMA 8. Let A be a ring of finite type over a field $k . L \subseteq \operatorname{Der}_{k}(A)$ a Lie-Algebra.
Let $\delta_{1}, \ldots, \delta_{r}$ vector fields with the following properties:
(1) $\delta_{1}, \ldots, \delta_{r} \in L$ and $L \subseteq \Sigma \delta_{i} A$
(2) $\left[\delta_{i}, \delta_{j}\right] \in \Sigma_{k>\max \{i, j\}} \delta_{k} A$
(3) There are $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r} \in A$ such that

$$
\delta_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)=1 \text { and } \delta_{j}\left(x_{i}\right)=0 \quad j>i
$$

(4) $\delta_{1}, \ldots, \delta_{r}$ act nilpotentely on $A$.

Then $A^{L}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}\right]=A$.
The Lemma is not difficult to prove. A similar lemma was used in the construction of the moduli space for curve singularities with the semi-group $\langle p, q\rangle$ (cf. [1], [2]).

Obviously $A^{L}$ is the ring of all elements of $A$ being invariant under $\delta_{1}, \ldots, \delta_{r}$.

Now $A^{\delta_{r}}\left[x_{r}\right]=A$ and the conditions (2)-(4) of the lemma are satisfied for $\delta_{1}, \ldots, \delta_{r-1}$ acting on $A^{\delta_{r}}$.

Now we may apply the Lemma 8 to the kernel of the Kodaira-Spencer map and its generators $\left\{\delta_{l m}^{\prime}\right\}$.

Because of the lemma the geometric quotient of $\mathbb{C}^{N-1}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}\left[H, W^{\prime}\right]$ by the action of the kernel of the Kodaira-Spencer map exist and is isomorphic to the transversal section to the maximal integral manifolds (which intersect therefore each of these integral manifolds exactly in one point) defined by

$$
W_{l, m}=0, \quad(l, m) \in B_{1} \backslash\left(B_{2} \cup\{(\alpha, \beta)\}\right) .
$$

Now we use Lemma 6 and get Theorem 2. Notice that

$$
\left\{G^{(0)} x^{i} y^{j}\right\}_{(i, j) \in B_{0}} \cup\left\{x^{i} y^{j}\right\}_{(i, j) \in B_{2}} \cup\left\{x^{i} y^{j}, i q+j p \leqslant 2 p q,(i, j) \in B\right\}
$$

is a base of the free $\mathbb{C}\left[H, W^{\prime}\right]$-module $\mathbb{C}\left[H, W^{\prime}\right][[x, y]] /(G, \partial G / \partial x, \partial G / \partial y)$. This implies $\mu-\tau=\#\left(B_{1} \backslash B_{2}\right)=(p-1)(q-1)$.
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