

# Reduced Hilbert schemes for irreducible curve singularities

G. Pfister

*Sektion Mathematik, Humboldt Universität, Unter den Linden 6, O-1080 Berlin, Germany*

J.H.M. Steenbrink

*Mathematisch Instituut, Katholieke Universiteit Nijmegen, Toernooiveld, 6525 ED Nijmegen, Netherlands*

Communicated by F. Oort

Received 9 July 1990

Revised 4 January 1991

## *Abstract*

Pfister, G. and J.H.M. Steenbrink, Reduced Hilbert schemes for irreducible curve singularities, *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra* 77 (1992) 103–116.

We study the Hilbert scheme of zero-dimensional subschemes of  $\text{Spec}(A)$  for a one-dimensional local noetherian  $k$ -algebra. Its connected components  $M_\tau$  parametrize the ideals of colength  $\tau$  in  $A$ . The  $M_\tau$  are embedded in a linear subspace  $M$  of a certain Grassmanian. We study the structure of  $M$  by its intersection with the Schubert cells. The case of rings  $A$  with monomial semigroup is specially treated.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $k$  be a field and let  $A$  be a local integral noetherian  $k$ -algebra of dimension one, such that its normalization  $\bar{A}$  is a discrete valuation ring with residue field  $k$ . Let  $v: \bar{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$  be the corresponding discrete valuation. We let  $\Gamma = v(A \setminus \{0\})$  denote the semigroup of  $A$ ,  $\bar{I}(n) = \{f \in \bar{A} \mid v(f) \geq n\}$  and  $I(n) = \bar{I}(n) \cap A$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Define  $c := \min\{n \mid \bar{I}(n) \subseteq A\}$  and  $m = \text{multiplicity of } A$ . Then  $I(c) = c$  is the conductor ideal and  $c = \dim_k(\bar{A}/c)$ . We define  $\delta = \dim_k(\bar{A}/A) = \#(\mathbb{N} \setminus \Gamma)$ . Then  $\delta + 1 \leq c \leq 2\delta$ , and  $c = 2\delta$  if and only if  $A$  is Gorenstein (cf. [6, p. 80, Proposition 7]).

In this paper we study the Hilbert scheme of zero-dimensional subschemes of  $\text{Spec}(A)$ , in other words, the space  $\text{Hilb}(A)$  of nonzero ideals in  $A$ . Note that this is a *punctual Hilbert scheme* in the sense of Iarrobino [5], and not a Hilbert scheme in the usual sense [4, Exp. 221]. We will show that its connected components  $M_\tau$  parametrize the ideals in  $A$  of colength  $\tau$ .

In Section 2 we will construct a space  $\mathcal{M}$  which is a special linear section of the Grassmannian of  $\delta$ -dimensional subspaces of  $\bar{A}/I(2\delta)$ , and for each  $\tau$  a closed embedding of  $\mathcal{M}_\tau$  into  $\mathcal{M}$ , which is an isomorphism for  $\tau \geq c$ . We will also study the partition of  $\mathcal{M}$  by its intersection with the Schubert cells corresponding to the natural flag.

In Section 3 we will investigate the structure of  $\mathcal{M}$  in the case of certain semigroups, which we baptize *monomial semigroups* (see Definition 9). In that case the strata of the partition above appear to be isomorphic to affine spaces, and we determine their dimensions.

## 2. Construction of $\mathcal{M}$

Let  $A$  be the local ring of a reduced and irreducible curve singularity with semigroup  $\Gamma$ . We fix the following notation. Let  $I$  be a nonzero ideal in  $A$ . We let  $\tau(I) = l(A/I)$ ,  $t(I) = \min\{v(f) \mid f \in I\}$ ,  $\Gamma(I) = \{v(f) \mid f \in I \setminus \{0\}\}$ ,  $\Gamma_0(I) = \Gamma(I) - t(I)$  and  $\delta(I) = \#\mathbb{N} \setminus \Gamma_0(I)$ . For  $I = A$  we get  $0, 0, \Gamma$  and  $\delta$  respectively. We let  $[a, b] := \{x \in \mathbb{N} \mid a \leq x \leq b\}$ ,  $[a, \infty) = \{x \in \mathbb{N} \mid x \geq a\}$  and let  $c(I)$  be the conductor of  $\Gamma_0(I)$ , i.e.  $\max\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid n-1 \notin \Gamma_0(I)\} = \min\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid [n, \infty) \subseteq \Gamma_0(I)\}$ . We have the following relations:

- (i)  $\tau(I) = t(I) + \delta(I) - \delta$ ,
- (ii)  $c(I) \leq \delta + \delta(I)$ .

Indeed, let  $f_1 \in I$  with  $v(f_1) = t(I)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(I) + \delta &= l(\bar{A}/I) = l(f_1^{-1}\bar{A}/f_1^{-1}I) = l(f_1^{-1}\bar{A}/\bar{A}) + l(\bar{A}/f_1^{-1}I) \\ &= t(I) + \delta(I). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,  $\Gamma_0(I)$  is a  $\Gamma$ -module, so  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  implies that  $c(I) - 1 - \gamma \notin \Gamma_0(I)$ . Hence

$$\#\{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid \gamma < c(I)\} \leq \delta(I).$$

**Definition 1.** For  $A, \Gamma$  as above, we let  $\mathcal{M}$  be the subset of  $\text{Grass}(\delta, \bar{A}/I(2\delta))$  consisting of the  $\delta$ -dimensional linear subspaces which are  $A$ -submodules.

Observe that the group  $1 + \mathfrak{m}_A$  acts by multiplication on  $\bar{A}/I(2\delta)$  and hence on  $\text{Grass}(\delta, \bar{A}/I(2\delta))$ . The fixed points of this action are exactly the points of  $\mathcal{M}$ . If we embed  $\text{Grass}(\delta, \bar{A}/I(2\delta))$  by its Plücker embedding, we get  $\mathcal{M} = \text{Grass}(\delta, \bar{A}/I(2\delta)) \cap \mathcal{P}(V)$ , where  $V \subseteq \Lambda_k^\delta(\bar{A}/I(2\delta))$  is the linear subspace of fixed points under the action of  $1 + \mathfrak{m}_A$  (this works because  $1 + \mathfrak{m}_A$  acts by unipotent linear transformations on  $\Lambda_k^\delta(\bar{A}/I(2\delta))$ ). We endow  $\mathcal{M}$  with the reduced scheme structure. By construction,  $\mathcal{M}$  is a projective scheme.

**Definition 2.** For each  $\tau > 0$  let  $\mathcal{M}_\tau$  be the set of ideals of codimension  $\tau$  in  $A$ .

Observe that each ideal of codimension  $\tau$  in  $A$  satisfies  $I(\tau + 2\delta) \subseteq I \subseteq I(\tau)$ . Choose a uniformizing parameter  $t$  in  $\bar{A}$ . Then  $I(2\delta) \subseteq t^{-\tau}I \subseteq \bar{A}$ , and  $l(\bar{A}/t^{-\tau}I) = l(\bar{A}/I) - \tau = \delta$ . Define the map  $\phi_\tau : \mathcal{M}_\tau \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  by  $\phi_\tau(I) = t^{-\tau}I/I(2\delta)$ .

**Theorem 3.** *For all  $\tau$ , the map  $\phi_\tau$  is injective and its image is a Zariski-closed subset of  $\mathcal{M}$ . For  $\tau \geq c$  the map  $\phi_\tau$  is bijective.*

**Proof.** Let  $x \in \mathcal{M}$  correspond to the  $A$ -submodule  $J$  of  $\bar{A}$  of colength  $\delta$ . Then  $t^\tau J$  is an  $A$ -submodule of  $\bar{A}$  of colength  $\tau + \delta$ , and  $x \in \text{Im}(\phi_\tau) \Leftrightarrow t^\tau J \subseteq A$ . This is clearly the case for  $\tau \geq c$ , hence  $\phi_\tau$  is bijective in that case. In general, the condition  $t^\tau J \subseteq A$  defines a Zariski-closed subset of  $\mathcal{M}$ . The injectivity of  $\phi_\tau$  is also clear.  $\square$

**Examples.** (1) Let  $A = k[[t^2, t^3]]$ . Then  $\mathcal{M}_1$  is a point, whereas  $\mathcal{M}_\tau \cong \mathcal{M} \cong \mathcal{P}^1(k)$  for  $\tau \geq 2$ .

(2) Let  $A = k[[t^2, t^5]]$ . Then  $\mathcal{M}$  is defined inside  $\mathcal{P}^5(k)$  by the Plücker relation  $\pi_{12}\pi_{34} - \pi_{13}\pi_{24} + \pi_{14}\pi_{23} = 0$  (which defines the Grassmannian) and the linear equations  $\pi_{12} = \pi_{14} - \pi_{23} = 0$ . Thus  $\mathcal{M}$  is a quadratic cone.  $\mathcal{M}_1$  is the vertex of this cone, and  $\mathcal{M}_2$  and  $\mathcal{M}_3$  are two different lines through this vertex.

(3) Let  $A = k[[t^3, t^4]]$ . The image of  $\mathcal{M}$  under the Plücker embedding of  $\text{Grass}(3, 6)$  in  $\mathcal{P}^{20}(k)$  is defined by the Plücker relations defining the Grassmannian and the linear relations

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{123} &= \pi_{124} = \pi_{125} = \pi_{126} = \pi_{134} = \pi_{135} = \pi_{136} = \pi_{234} = \pi_{235} \\ &= \pi_{145} - \pi_{245} = \pi_{156} - \pi_{246} + \pi_{345} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

We will consider this example later in more detail.

For the study of  $\mathcal{M}$ , a very useful observation is that  $\bar{A}/I(2\delta)$  has the canonically defined flag

$$0 \subset V_1 \subset \dots \subset V_{2\delta} = \bar{A}/I(2\delta),$$

where  $V_i = \bar{I}(2\delta - i)/I(2\delta)$ . This induces a partition of  $\text{Grass}(\delta, \bar{A}/I(2\delta))$  into *Schubert cells*

$$W_{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_\delta} \quad \text{for } \delta \geq a_1 \geq \dots \geq a_\delta \geq 0,$$

defined by

$$\begin{aligned} W_{a_1, \dots, a_\delta} \\ = \{ \Lambda \in \text{Grass}(\delta, \bar{A}/I(2\delta)) \mid \dim(\Lambda \cap V_{\delta+i-a_i}) = i \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, \delta \\ \text{and } \dim(\Lambda \cap V_j) < i \text{ for } j < \delta + i - a_i \} \end{aligned}$$

(see [3, p. 195]). We have

$$\dim W_{a_1, \dots, a_\delta} = \delta^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{\delta} a_i,$$

$$W_{b_1, \dots, b_\delta} \subseteq \overline{W_{a_1, \dots, a_\delta}} \Leftrightarrow b_i \geq a_i \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, \delta.$$

**Definition 4.** Let  $\Delta$  be a subset of  $[0, 2\delta - 1]$  such that  $\#\Delta = \delta$  and  $\Delta \cup [2\delta, \infty)$  is a  $\Gamma$ -module. Let  $\Gamma_1(I) = I(I) - \tau(I)$ . Then  $\mathcal{M}(\Delta) :=$  the subset of  $\mathcal{M}$  parametrizing ideals  $I$  with  $\Gamma_1(I) = \Delta \cup [2\delta, \infty)$ .

**Lemma 5.** Let  $\Delta = \{b_1, \dots, b_\delta\}$  with  $0 \leq b_1 < \dots < b_\delta < 2\delta$ . Let  $a_{\delta-i+1} = b_i - i + 1$  for  $i = 1, \dots, \delta$ . Then  $\mathcal{M}(\Delta) = \mathcal{M} \cap W_{a_1, \dots, a_\delta}$ .  $\square$

The proof is left to the reader. In the sequel we will let  $W(\Delta)$  denote the Schubert cell containing  $\mathcal{M}(\Delta)$ , and by abuse of language write  $W(\Gamma)$ ,  $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma)$  instead of  $W(\Gamma \cap [0, 2\delta - 1])$ , etc.

**Theorem 6.**  $\mathcal{M}$  is connected.

**Proof.** Let  $G = 1 + \mathfrak{m}_{\bar{A}}$ . It acts on  $\bar{A}/I(2\delta)$  by multiplication and leaves the standard flag invariant. Hence it also acts on  $\text{Grass}(\delta, \bar{A}/I(2\delta))$  preserving the partition into Schubert cells.  $G$  obviously also acts on  $\mathcal{M}$ , hence it preserves each stratum  $\mathcal{M}(\Delta)$ . As  $1 + I(2\delta)$  acts trivially on  $\text{Grass}(\delta, \bar{A}/I(2\delta))$ ,  $G$  acts via a unipotent quotient on  $\mathcal{M}$ . As  $\mathcal{M}$  is projective, the only closed  $G$ -orbits on  $\mathcal{M}$  are points. However,  $G$  has a unique fixed point  $P$  on  $\mathcal{M}$ , corresponding to  $\bar{I}(\delta)/I(2\delta)$ . As the image of  $G$  in  $\text{Aut}(\bar{A}/I(2\delta))$  is connected, each orbit is connected and has  $P$  in its closure. Hence  $\mathcal{M}$  is connected.  $\square$

**Remark.** The stratum  $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma)$  corresponds to the cyclic  $A$ -modules in  $\bar{A}/I(2\delta)$ , which form one  $G$ -orbit (namely  $G \cdot A/I(2\delta)$ ), of dimension  $\dim(G/1 + \mathfrak{m}_A) = \dim(\mathfrak{m}_{\bar{A}}/\mathfrak{m}_A) = \delta$ . Observe that  $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma) = \mathcal{M} \setminus \{A \mid A \subseteq V_{2\delta-1}\}$ , hence  $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma)$  is open in  $\mathcal{M}$ . Below we will see examples of strata  $\mathcal{M}(\Delta)$  with  $\Delta \neq \Gamma$  of dimension  $\geq \delta$ . Hence  $\mathcal{M}$  is reducible in general.

As a consequence of the proof of Theorem 6, one obtains a fairly good picture of the adjacencies of strata in  $\mathcal{M}$  by looking at an affine neighborhood of  $P$  in  $\text{Grass}(\delta, \bar{A}/I(2\delta))$ . Such an affine open neighborhood  $U_P$  is given by putting  $\pi_{\delta+1, \dots, 2\delta} \neq 0$ , and we have an isomorphism  $\text{Mat}_\delta(k) \cong U_P$  given by  $Z \mapsto \text{rowspan}(Z \mid I)$ , mapping 0 to  $P$ . In the sequel we will use the matrix coefficients  $Z_{ij}$  ( $i, j = 1, \dots, \delta$ ) as affine coordinates on  $U_P$ .

The action of  $G$  on  $\text{Grass}(\delta, \bar{A}/I(2\delta))$  is induced by right multiplication with matrices as follows. Let  $N \in \text{Mat}_\delta(k)$  be given by  $N_{i, i+1} = 1$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, \delta - 1$

and  $N_{ij} = 0$  else. Then  $t^j$  acts by right multiplication with  $\begin{pmatrix} N^j & {}^{N\delta} N^j \\ 0 & N^j \end{pmatrix}$  if  $j < \delta$  and with  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & N^{j-\delta} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  if  $j \geq \delta$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} g &= 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{2\delta-1} a_i t^i \text{ leaves } \text{rowspace}(Z \mid I) \text{ invariant} \\ &\Leftrightarrow \text{rank} \left( \begin{array}{c} Z \\ \sum_{j<\delta} a_j Z N^j \quad \sum_{j<\delta} a_j (Z^t N^{\delta-j} + N^j) + \sum_{j\geq\delta} a_j Z N^{j-\delta} \end{array} \right) = \delta \\ &\Leftrightarrow \left( \sum_{j<\delta} a_j (Z^t N^{\delta-j} + N^j) + \sum_{j\geq\delta} a_j Z N^{j-\delta} \right) Z - \sum_{j<\delta} a_j Z N^j = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have the following proposition:

**Proposition 7.** *Equations for  $\mathcal{M} \cap U_p$  can be obtained as follows. Let  $\{g_i\}_{i=1}^e$  be a set of generators of  $A/I(2\delta)$  as a  $k$ -algebra. Write  $g_i = \sum_j a_{ij} t^j$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} (Z \mid I) \in \mathcal{M} \cap U_p &\Leftrightarrow \sum_{j<\delta} a_{ij} (Z^t N^{\delta-j} Z + [N^j, Z]) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j\geq\delta} a_{ij} Z N^{j-\delta} Z = 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, e. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

### 3. Monomial semigroups

From now on we assume that  $k$  is an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. For simplicity we will restrict to the case that  $A$  is complete.

**Definition 8.** A *monomial curve singularity* over  $k$  is an irreducible curve singularity with local ring isomorphic to  $A = k[[t^{a_1}, \dots, t^{a_m}]]$  for certain  $a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Without loss of generality we may assume that  $\gcd(a_1, \dots, a_m) = 1$ . In that case the semigroup  $\Gamma$  of  $A$  has generators  $a_1, \dots, a_m$ , and again we may assume that  $a_1, \dots, a_m$  form a minimal set of generators for  $\Gamma$ .

**Definition 9.** A semigroup  $\Gamma$  in  $\mathbb{N}$  is called *monomial* if  $0 \in \Gamma$ ,  $\#(\mathbb{N} \setminus \Gamma) < \infty$  and each reduced and irreducible curve singularity with semigroup  $\Gamma$  is a monomial curve singularity.

**Theorem 10.** *For a semigroup  $\Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $\Gamma$  is a monomial semigroup.
- (2)  $\Gamma$  is a semigroup from the following list:
  - (i)  $\Gamma_{m,s,b} := \{im \mid i = 0, 1, \dots, s\} \cup [sm + b, \infty)$  with  $1 \leq b < m$ ,  $s \geq 1$ ,
  - (ii)  $\Gamma_{m,r} := \{0\} \cup [m, m + r - 1] \cup [m + r + 1, \infty)$  with  $2 \leq r \leq m - 1$ ,
  - (iii)  $\Gamma_m := \{0, m\} \cup [m + 2, 2m] \cup [2m + 2, \infty)$  with  $m \geq 3$ .

(3)  $0 \in \Gamma$ ,  $\delta := \#(\mathbb{N} \setminus \Gamma) < \infty$  and the following property holds: if  $x \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \Gamma$  and  $c(x) := \min\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid [n, \infty) \subseteq \Gamma \cup (x + \Gamma)\}$ , then  $\Gamma \cap (x + \Gamma) \subseteq [c(x), \infty)$ .

**Proof.** (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) This is an easy case-by-case check.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) Suppose that  $\Gamma$  satisfies (3). Let  $m := \min(\Gamma \setminus \{0\})$  and  $s, b$  be given by  $1 \leq b < m$  and  $sm + b = \min(\Gamma \setminus m\mathbb{N})$ . If  $[sm + b, \infty) \subseteq \Gamma$ , then  $\Gamma$  is of type  $\Gamma_{m,s,b}$ . Else there exists  $r > b$  with  $[sm + b, sm + r - 1] \subseteq \Gamma$  but  $sm + r \notin \Gamma$ . We will prove that  $s = 1$  and  $b \leq 2$ .

Assume  $s > 1$ . Then  $sm + b \in \Gamma \cap (b + \Gamma)$  so by (3) we have  $c(b) \leq sm + b$ , i.e.  $sm + b + i \in \Gamma \cup (b + \Gamma)$  for all  $i \geq 0$ . But  $sm + b + i \notin b + \Gamma$  for  $0 < i < b$ , so  $sm + b + i \in \Gamma$  for  $i = 1, \dots, b - 1$ . This means that  $r \geq 2b$ . On the other hand,  $r < m + b$  and we get

$$(*) \quad m > r - b \geq b.$$

If  $r \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ , then  $r = m + 1$ . Now  $2m - 1 \notin \Gamma$  and  $(s - 1)m + 2m - 1 = (s + 1)m - 1 \in \Gamma$ . This implies by (3) that  $sm + r = (s + 1)m + 1 \in \Gamma \cup (2m - 1 + \Gamma)$ , i.e.  $(s - 1)m + 2 \in \Gamma$ . This implies  $m = 2$ ,  $b = 1$ ,  $r = 3$  and  $sm + r = 2s + 3 = (s + 1)m + b \in \Gamma$  and we get a contradiction.

If  $r \not\equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ , then  $m + r - 1 \notin \Gamma$  because of (\*) and  $s > 1$ . On the other hand, we have  $(s - 1)m + m + r - 1 = sm + r - 1 \in \Gamma$ . This implies using (3) that  $sm + r \in \Gamma \cup (m + r - 1 + \Gamma)$ , i.e.  $(s - 1)m + 1 \in \Gamma$ . This is a contradiction and hence  $s = 1$ .

Assume now that  $b > 2$ . First of all  $r < m + b - 1$ . For if  $r = m + b - 1$ , then  $2m + 1 \in \Gamma$  and  $m + 1 \notin \Gamma$ . This would imply that  $m + r = 2m + b - 1 \in (m + 1 + \Gamma) \cup \Gamma$ , i.e.  $m + b - 2 \in \Gamma$ . This is a contradiction again.

Now  $r < m + b - 1$  implies  $r - b + 1 \notin \Gamma$ . But  $m + r > m + r - b + 1 \geq m + b + 1$  by (\*), i.e.  $m + r - b + 1 \in \Gamma$  and  $m + r \in \Gamma \cup (r - b + 1 + \Gamma)$ . This implies  $m + b - 1 \in \Gamma$ ; a contradiction. Hence we have  $s = 1$  and  $b \leq 2$ . Recall  $r < m + b$ .

If  $b = 2$  and  $r = m + 1$ , then  $\Gamma = \Gamma_m$ . If  $b = 2$  and  $r < m$ , then  $r - 1 \notin \Gamma$ . Because  $m + r - 1 \in \Gamma$  we get  $m + r \in \Gamma \cup (r - 1 + \Gamma)$ , i.e.  $m + 1 \in \Gamma$ ; contradiction. Remark that  $r \neq m$  as  $sm + r \notin \Gamma$ .

We are left with the case  $b = 1$ . Now  $2 \leq r < m + 1$ . Assume there exists  $r' > r$  with  $m + r' \notin \Gamma$ . Take  $r'$  minimal with this property. If  $r' = r + 1$ , then  $m + r' - r = m + 1 \in \Gamma$ . If  $r' > r + 1$ , then  $m + r - 1 + r' - r = m + r' - 1 \in \Gamma$ . This implies by (3) that  $m + r' \in \Gamma \cup (r' - r + \Gamma)$ , i.e.  $m + r' \in r' - r + \Gamma$ , so  $m + r \in \Gamma$ ; contradiction. Hence  $[m + r + 1, \infty) \subseteq \Gamma$  so  $\Gamma = \Gamma_{m,r}$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) Let  $A$  be a local  $k$ -subalgebra of  $k[[t]]$  with semigroup  $\Gamma_m$ . We have  $A = k[[g_m, g_{m+2}, \dots, g_{2m-1}]]$ , where  $g_j \equiv t^j + b_j t^{2m+1} \pmod{t^{2m+2}}$ . (It is clear that a coordinate change will eliminate the coefficient of  $t^{m+1}$  in  $g_m$ .) It is sufficient to find a substitution  $t = \phi(s) = s(1 + \sum_{i \geq 2} a_i s^i)$  in such a way that the coefficient of  $s^{2m+1}$  in each  $g_j(\phi(s))$  is 0. This coefficient is equal to

$$b_j + \text{the coefficient of } s^{2m+1-j} \text{ in } \left(1 + \sum_{i \geq 2} a_i s^i\right)^j$$

$$= b_j + ja_{2m+1-j} + F_{2m+1-j}(a_2, \dots, a_{2m+1-j-1}).$$

So our equations for the  $a_j$  can be solved recursively. The cases of  $\Gamma_{m,s,b}$  and  $\Gamma_{m,r}$  can be treated in the same way.

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) Suppose that  $\Gamma$  has not property (3). Then there exist  $x \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \Gamma$ ,  $\gamma = \gamma' + x \in \Gamma \cap (x + \Gamma)$  and  $y \notin \Gamma \cup (x + \Gamma)$  such that  $y > \gamma$ . Let  $A_0 = k[[t^i \mid i \in \Gamma]]$  be the monomial singularity with semigroup  $\Gamma$ . Put

$$A = k[[t^{\gamma'} + \lambda t^{y-x}, t^\gamma + \mu t^y, t^i \mid i \in \Gamma \setminus \{\gamma, \gamma'\}]].$$

Then  $A$  has semigroup  $\Gamma$ , but for all changes of variables  $t = \phi(s)$  either  $s^{y-x}$  or  $s^y$  will have a nonzero coefficient somewhere, if  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  are general enough. So  $A$  is not a monomial singularity.  $\square$

**Remark.** For the monomial singularities we have the following invariants:

type	embedding dimension	$c$	$\delta$	Gorenstein type
$\Gamma_{m,s,b}$	$m$	$sm$ if $b = 1$ $sm + b$ if $b > 1$	$s(m - 1) + b - 1$	$m - 1$
$\Gamma_{m,r}$	$m - 1$	$m + r + 1$	$m$	$m - r$
$\Gamma_m$	$m - 1$	$2m + 2$	$m + 1$	$1$

Here the Gorenstein type of a Cohen–Macaulay local ring is defined as the minimal number of generators of its dualizing module (cf. [6, Chapter IV, Section 3]).

**Remark.** Notice that the simple irreducible complete intersection curve singularities all have monomial semigroups:

type $A_{2s}$ :	$k[[x, y]]/(x^2 - y^{2s+1})$	has semigroup $\Gamma_{2,s,1}$ ,
type $E_6$ :	$k[[x, y]]/(x^3 - y^4)$	has semigroup $\Gamma_{3,2}$ ,
type $E_8$ :	$k[[x, y]]/(x^3 - y^5)$	has semigroup $\Gamma_3$ ,
type $W_8$ :	$k[[x, y, z]]/(x^2 - z^3, y^2 - xz)$	has semigroup $\Gamma_{4,3}$ ,
type $Z_{10}$ :	$k[[x, y, z]]/(x^2 - yz^2, y^2 - z^3)$	has semigroup $\Gamma_4$ .

In the case of type  $W_8$ , the parametrization is given by  $(x, y, z) = (t^6, t^5, t^4)$ ; in the case  $Z_{10}$  it is given by  $(x, y, z) = (t^7, t^6, t^4)$ .

**Remark.** Here is another view on the class of monomial semigroups. Between the

invariants  $c$ ,  $m$  and  $\delta$  we have the following inequalities. Write  $c = sm + r$  with  $0 \leq r \leq m - 1$ . Then

$$m - 1 \leq \delta \leq s(m - 1) + \begin{cases} r - 1 & \text{if } r \geq 2, \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Observe that  $\Gamma = \Gamma_{m,s,b} \Leftrightarrow \delta$  takes the maximal possible value. All semigroups with  $\delta = m$  are of type  $\Gamma_{m,r}$  and the only semigroup with  $\delta = m + 1$  and which is Gorenstein (i.e. with  $\gamma \in \Gamma \Leftrightarrow c - 1 - \gamma \notin \Gamma$ ) is  $\Gamma_m$ .

We fix the following notation. Suppose  $A$  is as before and that the semigroup  $\Gamma$  of  $A$  is monomial. Let  $\Delta \subseteq [0, 2\delta - 1]$  such that  $\Delta \cup [2\delta, \infty)$  is a  $\Gamma$ -module and  $\#\Delta = \delta$ . Let  $S$  be the set of minimal generators for  $\Delta \cup [2\delta, \infty)$  as a  $\Gamma$ -module, and let  $\Delta' := S \cap \Delta = \{\gamma \in \Delta \mid \forall \gamma' \in \Gamma: \gamma - \gamma' \notin \Delta\}$ . For each  $\gamma \in \Delta$  let  $J_\gamma := [\gamma + 1, 2\delta - 1] \setminus \Delta$ . We fix a parameter  $t$  in  $\bar{A}$  such that  $A = k[[t^\gamma \mid \gamma \in \Gamma]]$ .

**Theorem 11.** *With these notations, for each  $A$ -submodule  $I$  of length  $\delta$  in  $\bar{A}/I(2\delta)$  corresponding to a point in  $\mathcal{M}(\Delta)$  there exist uniquely determined  $u_{\gamma_j} \in k$  (for  $\gamma \in \Delta'$  and  $j \in J_\gamma$ ) such that  $I$  is generated by the elements  $g_\gamma$  ( $\gamma \in \Delta'$ ), where*

$$g_\gamma := t^\gamma + \sum_{j \in J_\gamma} u_{\gamma_j} t^j.$$

**Proof.** For each  $\gamma \in \Delta'$  choose  $h_\gamma \in I$  such that  $h_\gamma \equiv t^\gamma \pmod{I(\gamma + 1)}$ . If  $a_{\gamma_j} t^j$  is the first term in  $h_\gamma$  with  $j \notin J_\gamma$  and  $a_{\gamma_j} \neq 0$ , replace  $h_\gamma$  by  $h_\gamma - a_{\gamma_j} t^{j-\gamma} h_\gamma$ , where  $\gamma = \gamma' + \gamma''$  with  $\gamma' \in \Delta'$ ,  $\gamma'' \in \Gamma \setminus \{0\}$ . In this way we arrive at generators  $g_\gamma$  for  $I$  as above. Conversely, given such generators, for each  $\gamma \in \Delta$  there exist uniquely determined  $\gamma' \in \Delta'$  and  $\gamma'' \in \Gamma$  with  $\gamma = \gamma' + \gamma''$  (here we use property (3) of Theorem 10), and we get a  $k$ -basis of  $I$  by taking all  $t^{\gamma''} g_{\gamma'}$  for  $\gamma \in \Delta$ . Because  $\Gamma$  is monomial, in the process of reducing this basis to reduced row echelon form, the elements  $g_{\gamma'}$  with  $\gamma' \in \Delta'$  are not affected. Hence these are uniquely determined by  $I$ , and  $I$  has indeed semigroup  $\Delta$ .  $\square$

**Corollary.** *If  $\Gamma$  is a monomial semigroup, then each  $\mathcal{M}(\Delta)$  is an affine space of dimension  $\sum_{\gamma \in \Delta'} \#J_\gamma$ , and the codimension  $c(\Delta)$  of  $\mathcal{M}(\Delta)$  inside  $W(\Delta)$  is equal to  $\sum_{\gamma \in \Delta \setminus \Delta'} \#J_\gamma$ .  $\square$*

We now proceed to the analysis of  $\mathcal{M}$  in the monomial cases. We first observe that there is a hierarchy between these, which goes as follows. Let  $\Gamma$  be a monomial semigroup with conductor  $c$ . Put  $\Gamma^* = \Gamma \cup \{c - 1\}$ . Then  $\Gamma^*$  appears to be a monomial semigroup again. In fact,  $\Gamma_{m,s,b}^* = \Gamma_{m,s,b-1}$  if  $b \geq 2$ ,  $\Gamma_{m,s,1}^* = \Gamma_{m,s-1,m-1}$  if  $s \geq 2$ ,  $\Gamma_{m,1,1}^* = \Gamma_{m-1,1,1}$ ,  $\Gamma_m^* = \Gamma_{m,1,2}$  and  $\Gamma_{m,r}^* = \Gamma_{m,1,1}$ .

Let us write  $\mathcal{M}_\Gamma$  for  $\mathcal{M}$ , when we want to specify which semigroup is under consideration. We have a natural map  $j: \mathcal{M}_{\Gamma^*} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_\Gamma$  defined by  $j(I) =$



$tI + (t^{2\delta-1}) \subseteq k[[t]]/(t^{2\delta})$  for  $I \subseteq k[[t]]/(t^{2\delta-2})$ . It is clear that  $j$  is injective and that  $\text{Im}(j)$  is the union of all strata  $\mathcal{M}(\Delta)$  in  $\mathcal{M}_\Gamma$  such that  $\Delta = \{b_1, \dots, b_\delta\}$ , with  $0 < b_1 < \dots < b_\delta = 2\delta - 1$  and  $b_1 + c - 1 \in \Delta \cup [2\delta, \infty)$ .

**Remarks.** (1) If  $\Gamma$  is Gorenstein, then  $\mathcal{M}(\Delta) \subseteq \text{Im}(j) \Leftrightarrow b_1 > 0 \Leftrightarrow \Delta \neq \Gamma$ . So in this case we have  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}(\Gamma) \cup \text{Im}(j)$ .

(2) If  $b_1 + c - 1 \in \Delta$ , then  $b_\delta = 2\delta - 1$ .

(3) In general,  $\text{Im}(j)$  is closed in  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{M} = \text{Im}(j) \cup \bigcup \mathcal{M}(\Delta)$ , where we take the union over all  $\Delta$  such that  $b_1 = 0$ ,  $b_1 + c - 1 \notin \Delta \cup [2\delta, \infty)$  or  $b_\delta < 2\delta - 1$ .

We start with the study of  $\mathcal{M}$  for the semigroups which are lowest in this hierarchy.

(I)  $\Gamma = \Gamma_{m,1,1}$ . We have  $\delta = m - 1$ . From the Corollary above we see that  $\mathcal{M}(\Delta) = W(\Delta)$  for each  $\Delta$ . Hence the adjacencies are determined by the remark before Definition 4. We have  $m - 1$  irreducible components  $\mathcal{M}_1, \dots, \mathcal{M}_{m-1}$ . The intersection of  $\mathcal{M}_r$  with the open patch  $U_p$  consists of row spaces of matrices  $(Z \mid I)$  such that  $Z_{ij} = 0$  if  $i > r$  or  $j < r$ . In particular,  $\dim(\mathcal{M}_r) = r(m - r)$  and  $\dim(\mathcal{M}) = \max\{r(m - r) \mid r = 1, \dots, m - 1\} = \lfloor m^2/4 \rfloor$ .

(II)  $\Gamma = \Gamma_{m,1,2} = \{0, m\} \cup [m + 2, \infty)$ . We have  $\delta = m$ . Claim: if  $\Delta$  has the minimal element  $b_1$ , then  $c(\Delta) = 1$  if  $b_1 + m + 1 \notin \Delta$  and else  $c(\Delta) = 0$ . Moreover, in the former case,  $\mathcal{M}(\Delta) \cap U_p$  is defined inside  $W(\Delta) \cap U_p$  by the single equation  $\text{tr}(Z) = 0$ . Again the adjacencies are as for the corresponding Schubert cells. This follows from Proposition 7; the equations for  $\mathcal{M} \cap U_p$  are:  $ZN^jZ = 0$  for  $j = 0, 2, \dots, m - 1$ .

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_b &= \{b, b + 2, \dots, 2b + 1, b + m, b + m + 2, \dots, 2m - 1\}, \\ \Delta'_b &= \{b, b + 2, \dots, 2b, b + m, \dots, 2m - 1\}, \end{aligned}$$

for  $b = 0, \dots, m - 2$  (notice that  $\Delta_0 = \Gamma$ ). Remark that  $\Gamma^* = \Gamma_{m,1,1}$ . Then

$$\mathcal{M} = \text{Im}(j) \cup \bigcup_{b=0}^{m-2} \overline{\mathcal{M}(\Delta_b)}, \quad \text{with } \text{Im}(j) \cap \overline{\mathcal{M}(\Delta_b)} = \overline{\mathcal{M}(\Delta'_b)},$$

$$\dim \mathcal{M}(\Delta_b) = (b + 1)(m - b) - b + 1.$$

Especially

$$\dim \mathcal{M}(\Delta_{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor - 1}) = \lfloor m^2/4 \rfloor + 1$$

implies

$$\dim \mathcal{M} = \dim \text{Im}(j) + 1 = \lfloor m^2/4 \rfloor + 1.$$

Using Remark 3 above, we have to prove that if  $W(\Delta) \subseteq \overline{W(\Delta_b)}$  for some  $\Delta$  with  $b = \min(\Delta)$ , then  $\mathcal{M}(\Delta) \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{M}(\Delta_b)}$ . But this is clear, because in  $U_p$ ,  $\mathcal{M}(\Delta)$  and  $\mathcal{M}(\Delta_b)$  are defined by the single equation  $\text{tr}(Z) = 0$  inside  $W(\Delta)$  and  $W(\Delta_b)$ .

(III)  $\Gamma = \Gamma_{m,r}$ . Recall that  $\Gamma^* = \Gamma_{m,1,1}$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_b &= \{b\} \cup [b+r+1, 2b+r] \cup [b+m, b+m+r-1] \\ &\quad \cup [b+m+r+1, 2m-1], \\ \Delta'_b &= \{b\} \cup [b+r+1, 2b+r-1] \cup [b+m, 2m-1], \end{aligned}$$

for  $b = 0, \dots, m-r-1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M} &= \text{Im}(j) \cup \bigcup_{b=0}^{m-r-1} \overline{\mathcal{M}(\Delta_b)} \quad \text{with } \text{Im}(j) \cap \overline{\mathcal{M}(\Delta_b)} = \overline{\mathcal{M}(\Delta'_b)}, \\ \dim \mathcal{M}(\Delta_b) &= (b+1)(m-b-r) + r, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$\dim \mathcal{M} = \begin{cases} 3 & \text{if } m = 3, \\ \lfloor m^2/4 \rfloor & \text{if } m \geq 4. \end{cases}$$

Again it is enough to prove that if  $W(\Delta) \subseteq \overline{W(\Delta_b)}$  for some  $\Delta$  with  $b = \min(\Delta)$ , then  $\mathcal{M}(\Delta) \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{M}(\Delta_b)}$ . We may assume that  $b+m+r \notin \Delta$  (otherwise  $\mathcal{M}(\Delta) = W(\Delta)$ ). In this case  $\mathcal{M}(\Delta) \cap U_p$  is defined in  $W(\Delta) \cap U_p$  by the equations  $\text{tr}(ZN^j) = 0$ ,  $j = 0, \dots, r-1$ . As in the case  $\Gamma_{m,1,2}$  we conclude that  $\mathcal{M}(\Delta) \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{M}(\Delta_b)}$ .

Using Proposition 7 we see that  $\mathcal{M} \cap U_p$  is defined by the equations  $ZN^jZ = 0$ ,  $j = 0, \dots, m-1$ ,  $j \neq r$ . We conclude the following facts:

$$(1) \quad \{(Z \mid I) \in \mathcal{M} \cap U_p \mid \text{rk}(Z) \leq 1\} = \overline{(\mathcal{M}(\Gamma) \cup \overline{\mathcal{M}(\Delta_{m-r-1})})} \cap U_p$$

(notice that for  $r = m-1$  we have just one component);

$$(2) \quad \overline{\mathcal{M}(\Gamma)} \cap U_p \text{ is defined by the equations}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rk}(Z) &\leq 1, \\ \text{tr}(ZN^j) &= 0 \quad j = 0, \dots, m-1, \quad j \neq r, \\ Z_{ij} &= 0 \quad \text{for } i = r+2, \dots, m, \quad \text{all } j, \end{aligned}$$

whereas  $\overline{\mathcal{M}(\Delta_{m-r-1})} \cap U_p$  is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rk}(Z) &\leq 1, \\ \text{tr}(ZN^j) &= 0 \quad j = 0, \dots, m-1, \quad j \neq r, \\ Z_{ij} &= 0 \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, m-r-1, \quad \text{all } i; \end{aligned}$$

(3) if  $m = 3$ , then  $\mathcal{M} = \overline{\mathcal{M}(\Gamma)} \cup \overline{\mathcal{M}(\Delta_{2-r})}$ .

It is enough to prove (1) and (2), because of the fact that in the case  $m = 3$ ,  $\text{rk}(Z) \leq 1$  follows from  $Z^2 = 0$ , hence (3) holds. It suffices to prove that

$$\{Z \in \text{Mat}_m(k) \mid \text{rk}(Z) \leq 1, ZN^jZ = 0 \text{ for } j = 0, \dots, m-1, j \neq r\}$$

is the union of the two irreducible components

$$\begin{aligned} \{Z \in \text{Mat}_m(k) \mid \text{rk}(Z) \leq 1, ZN^jZ = 0 \text{ for } j = 0, \dots, m-1, j \neq r, \\ Z_{ij} = 0 \text{ for } i = r+2, \dots, m, \text{ all } j\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \{Z \in \text{Mat}_m(k) \mid \text{rk}(Z) \leq 1, ZN^jZ = 0 \text{ for } j = 0, \dots, m-1, j \neq r, \\ Z_{ij} = 0 \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, m-r-1, \text{ all } i\} \end{aligned}$$

because obviously  $\mathcal{M}(\Delta_{m-r-1})$  is contained in the first of these components and  $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma)$  in the other one, and moreover  $ZN^jZ = 0 \Leftrightarrow \text{tr}(ZN^j) = 0$  (as  $\text{rk}(Z) \leq 1$ ).

Now consider the Segre embedding  $\mathcal{P}^{m-1} \times \mathcal{P}^{m-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^{m^2-1}$ ,  $(x, y) \mapsto x \cdot y$ . The image corresponds to all  $m \times m$  matrices  $Z$  of rank 1. Writing  $Z = x \cdot y$  we find that  $ZN^jZ = 0 \Leftrightarrow {}^t y N^j x = 0$ . We will prove that

$$\{(x, y) \in \mathcal{P}^{m-1} \times \mathcal{P}^{m-1} \mid {}^t y N^j x = 0 \text{ for } j = 0, \dots, m-1, j \neq r\}$$

is irreducible if  $r = m-1$  and the union of two components defined as the closure of  $y_1 \neq 0$  resp.  $x_m \neq 0$  (where  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$ ,  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_m)$ ).

If  $r = m-1$ , we have the following system of equations:

$$\begin{cases} x_1 y_1 + \dots + x_m y_m = 0 \\ x_2 y_1 + \dots + x_m y_{m-1} = 0 \\ \dots \\ x_{m-1} y_1 + x_m y_2 = 0. \end{cases}$$

If  $r < m-1$ , we have

$${}^t y N^{m-1} x = \dots = {}^t y N^{r+1} x = 0,$$

so

$$\begin{cases} y_1 x_m = 0 \\ y_1 x_{m-1} + y_2 x_m = 0 \\ \dots \\ y_1 x_{r+2} + \dots + y_{m-r-1} x_m = 0. \end{cases}$$

If  $y_1 \neq 0$ , then  $x_{r+2} = \dots = x_m = 0$ , i.e.  $Z_{ij} = 0$  for  $i \geq r + 2$ .

If  $x_m \neq 0$ , then  $y_1 = \dots = y_{m-r-1} = 0$ , i.e.  $Z_{ij} = 0$  for  $j \leq m - r - 1$ .

We finish with the remark that

$$S = \left\{ (x, y) \in \mathcal{P}^r \times \mathcal{P}^{m-1} \mid \sum_{i-j=\nu} x_i y_i = 0 \text{ for } \nu = 0, \dots, r-1 \right\}$$

is irreducible of dimension  $m - 1$ . Indeed, it is clear that each irreducible component of  $S$  has dimension  $\geq m - 1$ . Looking at these equations for fixed  $y$ , we see that there is a unique component of  $S$  of dimension  $m - 1$  projecting birationally onto  $\mathcal{P}^{m-1}$  and that the set of points in  $\mathcal{P}^{m-1}$  for which the fibre has dimension at least  $i$  has codimension  $i + 1$ . This implies that  $S$  has no other component of dimension  $\geq m - 1$ .

If one takes  $r = 1$  in the above description, the results remain valid, and apply to the case of  $\Gamma_{m,1,2}$ .

Let us consider the special case of  $\Gamma_{3,2}$  (the  $E_6$  singularity). Then for  $\Delta$  we have the possibilities

$$\{0, 3, 4\} \quad \{2, 3, 5\} \quad \{3, 4, 5\} \quad \{1, 4, 5\} \quad \{2, 4, 5\}.$$

$\mathcal{M} \cap U_p$  is defined by  $\text{rk}(Z) \leq 1$ ,  $\text{tr}(Z) = 0 = \text{tr}(ZN)$ , i.e. by

$$\text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} Z_{11} & Z_{12} & Z_{13} \\ Z_{21} & Z_{22} & Z_{23} \\ Z_{31} & -Z_{21} & -Z_{11} - Z_{22} \end{pmatrix} \leq 1.$$

One can prove that this defines a threefold with a singular line with transverse singularity of type  $A_2$ . For the different  $\Delta$  we get for  $\mathcal{M}(\Delta) \cap U_p$  the following:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \Delta & \mathcal{M}(\Delta) \cap U_p, \\ \{3, 4, 5\} & \{(0 \mid I)\}, \\ \{2, 3, 5\} & \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & u \\ 0 & 0 & v \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : v \neq 0 \right\}, \\ \{1, 4, 5\} & \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & v & u \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : v \neq 0 \right\}, \\ \{2, 4, 5\} & \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & u \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : u \neq 0 \right\}. \end{array}$$

(IV) Let  $\Gamma = \Gamma_m$ . Here  $\Gamma^* = \Gamma_{m,1,2}$ . As  $\Gamma$  is Gorenstein,  $\mathcal{M} = \text{Im}(j) \cup \mathcal{M}(\Gamma)$  and

$$\dim \mathcal{M} = \begin{cases} 4 & \text{if } m = 3, \\ \lfloor m^2/4 \rfloor + 1 & \text{if } m \geq 4. \end{cases}$$

Using Proposition 7 we see that  $\mathcal{M} \cap U_p$  is defined by the equations

$$Z^iNZ = [Z, N^m] \quad \text{and} \quad ZN^jZ = 0 \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, m - 1.$$

As before, we are interested in characterizing  $\overline{\mathcal{M}(\Gamma)}$ . The situation is not as easy as before. It is no longer true that  $\overline{\mathcal{M}(\Gamma)}$  is a union of  $\mathcal{M}(\Delta)$ 's (as is the case for the corresponding Schubert cells): if  $m = 4$ , then  $(t^2, t^4) \in \overline{\mathcal{M}(\Gamma)} \cap \mathcal{M}(\Delta)$  with  $\Delta = \{2, 4, 6, 8, 9\}$ . Obviously  $W(\Delta) \subseteq \overline{W(\Gamma)}$ . On the other hand,  $\dim \mathcal{M}(\Delta) = \dim W(\Delta) - 1 = 5 = \dim \mathcal{M}(\Gamma)$ , hence  $\mathcal{M}(\Delta)$  is not contained in  $\overline{\mathcal{M}(\Gamma)}$ .

For  $m = 3$  (the singularity  $E_8$ ) one can check by explicit computation that  $\mathcal{M} = \overline{\mathcal{M}(\Gamma)}$ . We omit the details. We just mention that  $\text{Sing}(\mathcal{M})$  is the union of the closures of  $\mathcal{M}(\{2, 5, 6, 7\})$  and  $\mathcal{M}(\{3, 4, 6, 7\})$  with transverse types  $A_3$  resp.  $D_4$ .

(V)  $\Gamma = \Gamma_{2,s,1}$  (the singularity of type  $A_{2s}$ ). Then  $\Gamma$  is Gorenstein and  $\Gamma^* = \Gamma_{2,s-1,1}$  (if  $s \geq 2$ ). Hence again  $\mathcal{M} = \text{Im}(j) \cup \mathcal{M}(\Gamma)$  and  $\dim \mathcal{M} = s$  by induction on  $s$ . Furthermore,  $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma)$  is dense in  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\text{Im}(j) = \bigcup_{x=1}^s \mathcal{M}(\langle x, 2s + 1 - x \rangle)$  (here  $\langle x, y \rangle$  denotes the semigroup generated by  $x$  and  $y$ ). With Proposition 7 we see that  $\mathcal{M} \cap U_p$  has equations  $Z(N)^{s-2}Z = [Z, N^2]$ . For small  $s$  we get:

$$s = 1: \mathcal{M} \cong \mathcal{P}^1(k),$$

$$s = 2: \mathcal{M} \text{ is a quadratic cone in } \mathcal{P}^3(k),$$

$s = 3: \mathcal{M}$  is a threefold with a singular line with transverse singularity of type  $A_2$ . At the point  $P$ ,  $\mathcal{M}$  has embedding dimension 5.

**Remark.** Consider a ring  $A$  and  $A$ -modules  $E$  with a resolution of the form

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow A^n \rightarrow E \rightarrow 0.$$

Let us call such modules 1- $n$   $A$ -modules. The isomorphism classes of 1- $n$   $A$ -modules are in 1-1 correspondence with their Fitting ideals, i.e. with ideals in  $A$  which are generated by at most  $n$  elements (see [1, p. 146]). Hence for  $A$  the local ring of a reduced and irreducible curve singularity, the isomorphism classes of such modules are parametrized by open subsets of  $\mathcal{M}$  (and by the whole of  $\mathcal{M}$  if  $n$  is large enough).

**Remark.** There is some more structure on  $\mathcal{M}$  which we have not exploited yet. First, we have the residue pairing  $R$  on  $k[[t]]/(t^{2\delta})$ . If  $I$  is an  $A$ -submodule of  $k[[t]]/(t^{2\delta})$  of length  $\delta$ , then also  $I^\perp = \{x \in k[[t]]/(t^{2\delta}) \mid R(x, I) = 0\}$  is such an  $A$ -submodule. This defines an involution on  $\mathcal{M}$ . In the neighborhood  $U_p$  it is given by  $Z \mapsto -J^tZJ$ , where  $J_{ij} = 1$  if  $i + j = \delta - 1$  and 0 else. The stratum  $\mathcal{M}(\Delta)$  is mapped to  $\mathcal{M}(\Delta')$ , where  $\Delta' = [0, 2\delta - 1] \setminus (2\delta - 1 - \Delta)$ . In particular,  $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma)$  is

stable under this involution  $\Leftrightarrow \Gamma$  is Gorenstein. Hence, in the non-Gorenstein case,  $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma)$  cannot be dense in  $\mathcal{M}$ , as there exists another stratum with the same dimension.

In the monomial case, we have an action of  $k^*$  on  $\mathcal{M}$ , induced by the  $k^*$ -action on  $A$ ,  $(\lambda f)(t) = f(\lambda t)$  for  $\lambda \in k^*$ . There is a unique fixed point in each stratum, the zero point in the corresponding Schubert cell. In particular, the equations for  $\mathcal{M} \cap U_p$  are quasi-homogeneous with weight  $(Z_{ij}) = \delta + i - j$ .

## References

- [1] C. Gibson, *Singular Points of Smooth Mappings* (Pitman, London, 1979).
- [2] M. Giusti, Classification des singularités isolées simples d'intersections complètes, *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.* 40 (1983) Part 1, 457–494.
- [3] Ph. Griffiths and J. Harris. *Principles of Algebraic Geometry* (Wiley, New York, 1978).
- [4] A. Grothendieck, Fondements de la Géométrie Algébrique, Séminaire Bourbaki 1957–1962, Secrétariat Math. Paris 1962.
- [5] A. Iarrobino, Punctual Hilbert schemes, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* 188 (1977).
- [6] J.-P. Serre, *Groupes Algébriques et Corps de Classes* (Hermann, Paris, 1959).